

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-066

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a pro tem justice of the peace improperly denied his motion for summary judgment in a civil matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Peter J. Eckerstrom did not participate in the consideration of this matter

Dated: April 19, 2018

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Margaret H. Downie

Margaret H. Downie

Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 19, 2018.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL
State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-066

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

was Filed for
over one year ago pretrial was set for late
sent to my house, a Mobile Mechanic
to Assess Ave to POOR
Workman ship; ALL Parties agreed to meet for follow-up
pretrial Conference Defendants were a
NO SHOW, then I filed Summary Judgement for
FULL Amount seeked, Sent Motion for Summary
Judgement 2 times, and the Judge rejected my
Motion (NOT Right) I was entitled to Summary
Judgement on then went to Court
at my request on I was Sworn In.
Defendants were NOT SWORN IN. Allowed
to Lie in Court, Not being Sworn in, thus
Defendants were Allowed to Lie in Court, a
CLASS 4 FELONY in AZ, Judge should be removed
from Bench and disaplined along with
Attorney, It was Very clear that Plaintiff
Should Have been Awarded Summary Judgement on
there for Ending this Court Case at that
time and Questions feel free to Call me
Thank