

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-154

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner was biased and improperly issued a protective order.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: July 31, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 31, 2018.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

18-154

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ **Judge's Name:** _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Commissioner _____ has acted unethically to violate my client, _____ rights. On _____
unknown attorneys, _____ of which I believe to be _____ came to the _____ courtroom to secure a
workplace harassment injunction on behalf of their client _____ They presented the _____
_____ with a petition signed by _____ authorized representative, _____ After the _____
_____ refused to quash the injunction on procedural grounds, I secured a copy of the transcript for the ex
parte hearing.

The transcript picked up in the middle of a conversation between _____ where they proceeded to
conspire to take away _____ relief that was not even requested in _____ petition and took emails
out of context without ever presenting the emails to the _____ o review. I know this fact because the _____
_____ admitted in the Motion to Quash hearing on _____ that he had not reviewed any emails when he _____ and the
issued the ex parte order preliminarily granting the petition. The transcript then reveals that the _____ and the
attorneys mockingly ridiculed _____ who suffers from _____ which is well-controlled. These actions exhibited
a disability bias on the part of the _____ and attorneys in violation of the professional rules of conduct for
judges and attorneys. The transcript reveals that the _____ permitted the attorneys to interfere with the
administration of justice because the transcript had no testimony of _____ which is required by the rules of
protective orders and that the hearing be recorded.

_____ is planning to conduct a hearing on the void petition on _____ when the only
ethical action he may take is to dismiss the injunction and require _____ to properly re-file it should _____ so
desire. I have filed a _____ that will need to be briefed and ruled upon. I am
concerned that _____ will continue to violate my client's rights and conduct the hearing on the void
petition anyway.

I believe that _____ has interfered with the administration of justice and exhibited a disability bias
against my client, _____ in violation of the professional rules of conduct for judges.