

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-157

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace issued an improper ruling, violated his rights and was involved in criminal conduct.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: August 23, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 23, 2018.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-157

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

In the the Commission on Judicial Conduct of an understanding of my writing style as 'successful development is not an assurance in expert skills as, there are no neutral aspects in a delivery of information of a preferred choice' in word phrases of Disability Rights students or Disability Resource students as an advantage.

Explaining problems regarding allegations against, (Judge that create legal vulnerability specifically issues in the exercise of Disability Rights students, the required notices of keep records of continued civil rights violations, public corruption, extortion or solicitation of monies in the proceedings for evidences in hearings.

conduct in protection of legal identification, in the procedure and protection of complaint in helping the understand the fact and allegation of continued ethical misconduct witnessed by, the FBI, US Attorney's office, FTC, CFPB, Credit Bureaus as well as specialty consumer Reporting Agencies.

Details of legal liability when a plaintiff is, legally responsible for a situation describing the events in legal notice of illegal writs/warrants, the unfair or deceptive business practice of (in capacity legal for enforceable contracts, not " in the enforcement of the


"

or Court of law allegations of the subject of Federal Supremacy in a continued made cause for Public Corruption, civil rights violations, and the Extortion and Solicitation of Monies.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY



COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

that detailed and describes events involving a judicial officer
that constitutes allegations of judicial misconduct.

Professional Practice of sound ethical behavior that minimizes
the risk of continued litigation rather than exposing

Considerations for a Disability Student's ability to do the
"if not quickly deemed superfluous in witness of observed
behavior of the judges conduct.

Principle of state deciders'
established by, government bodies determining whether a lawyer fees
Trails and potential judgments may be necessary.

Violations of (ADA) title I, III of the Americans with Disabilities Act
of 1990, and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Rehabilitation Act) making
it unlawful to discriminate against a qualified individual with

with regards'
to Employment and Labor Disputes, to resolve
in (Dispute Resolution Techniques) in the decision of award
and the differences of "

constituting the allegations of ethical misconduct as 'illegal
conduct' for subject of Federal subpoena the protection in litigation
in the negative consequences from a "

Function of court within the United States, of the Supreme Court,
Federal system, court of appeals, Federal Administration Agency, EEO,
DOJ, District court and other specialized