

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 18-159

---

Judges:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged three superior court judges had poor demeanor, were rude to him and committed other Code violations.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officers did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: September 26, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 26, 2018.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

2018-159

1 Greetings Honorable and Noble Judicial Conduct Commission  
2 of and for the State of ARIZONA

3 Grace mercy peace and shalom from GOD  
4 The Father, and His Son Christ Jesus Our LORD  
5 be unto each of You Amen

6 I thank each of you for attention, appreciation and administration

7 Inasmuch, as I know that Judicial officers  
8 must be professional, ethical, competent, prompt, impartial  
9 faithful, Court-conscious, and careful, holding a prestigious  
10 honorable, noble title and place

11 This Complaint is to raise awareness of mis-  
12 behavior, abuse of discretion, and violations of Judicial canons  
13 violation of 28-USCS 1826 Recalcitrant Witness

14 18-USCS § 242 - Deprivation of Rights under Color of Law

15 18-USCS § 241 - Conspiracy against rights

16 18-USCS § 245.b.1.b Federally protected activities (Privileges)  
17 and abuse of Grand Jury body and purpose, and authority  
18 Deprivation of Civil Rights

19 I reliable facts and Truth for sanctions  
20 towards the following Judges and their assistants

21 On \_\_\_\_\_ I had initial appearance via video  
22 television in custody at \_\_\_\_\_

23 by \_\_\_\_\_ I was interrogated  
24 by \_\_\_\_\_ pretrial services to determine report  
25 for judge regarding possible release conditions; WITHOUT  
26 having a Lawyer, and that report was bias, contemptuous  
27 libelous, they asked extreme personal questions which  
28 later in report I noticed there was inappropriate comments

29 Now the Ariz Rules of Crim. \_\_\_\_\_ declare I have the  
30 right to an attorney when it deals with conditions of  
31 release. That interview was very deceitful, unfair, illegal, deceptive

32 Additionally the magistrate failed to consider  
33 and permit the mendacious, absurd, inconsistent, self-rebutted  
statements of the woman involved in the matter

1 Ariz R Crim initial appearance says a magistrate  
2 must consider those statements of suspected  
3 supposed witness

4 furthermore, the complaint was not even signed  
5 by complaining witness or agency, thus the  
6 magistrate disregarded validity to be void  
7 the arresting agency was

8 but again I aware they failed to do so sign  
9 so it seems that the judge requested the  
10 to manipulate document

11 with their signature; this clearly  
12 trespassed beyond their jurisdiction, committing perjury

13 Also at initial appearance on  
14 the magistrate ordered a preliminary hearing to occur  
15 on . Now according the Arizona

16 Constitution strictly declares Article II that a defendant  
17 has a right to a preliminary hearing if a felony is  
18 commenced by complaint; Also Ariz Rul Crim 1 says  
19 a defendant in custody has right to preliminary hearing  
20 in . It never happened, it never proceeded

21 surely. That's capricious, arbitrary, maliciously, misleading  
22 about-face action, surely I thought I would be  
23 able to answer and speak with a honorable noble judge

24 Unfortunately there is much more issues,  
25 now concerning proceedings for

26 were called one failed to comply and be  
27 examined she did not even show up, the  
28 expected her but was told at last moment she  
29 would be replaced by her partner;

30 and ; surely immediately in proceedings there is contempt  
31 also a "person can only be in presence of  
32 if they are subpoenaed, no subpoena was issued  
33 or presented; also there is no dialogue of the

1 hired government witness oath being given or taken  
 2 Also ARS clearly says that the prosecuting  
 3 attorney is not allowed to examine the witness in  
 4 presence of grand jury illegally, knowingly, deliberately  
 5 intelligently, trespasser into the  
 6 province of the by dictating, manipulating  
 7 dominating, improperly examining hired witness  
 8 clearly depriving the grand jury their functions  
 9 and prerogatives.  
 10 The as the matter  
 11 Counts also  
 12 prior proven by Froth  
 13 in. discloser reports  
 14 surely that proves premeditated bias, prejudice, malicious  
 15 partial prosecution, it's the grand jury duty to inquire <sup>was</sup> if a offense has or not  
 16 committed. Also failed to have the  
 17 count, surely the  
 18 failed to keep their oaths  
 19 also it is impermissible to place the government  
 20 prestige credentials behind a witness surely that's what  
 21 also occurred by including the  
 22 in accordance with his identity by hired witness  
 23 Also in the it says  
 24 for a couple included  
 25 surely that's bias partial, no where in ARS is it ruled  
 26 permissible to have a included in  
 27 that's actually illegal  
 28 Now regarding Judge  
 29 around the I think  
 30 I can't remember exact day, but I had noticed the  
 31 judge the court to I ask also that the  
 32  
 33 said a comment that seemed to try and pressure me

1 to make a decision about becoming prose  
2  
3  
4 and about a " " " " I  
5 I wanted prose but Judge  
6 , also he did not allow that attorney to withdraw  
7 about the ruling was before Judge  
8 who was very rude, expedient, unfair, and inappropriate  
9 me Judge abused discretion and ordered  
10 at that time attorney appointed to file  
11 clearly abusing her discretion, also Judge made  
12 improper comments such as:  
13  
14  
15 I told I refuse to accept Mr  
16 I been trying to fire him obviously I don't  
17 agree with his efforts, said Mr. would  
18 remain counsel she adjourned court I was taken out  
19 and she continued court as to order Mr to  
20 order Rule 11, having communication about significant  
21 issues without my presence is against the canon  
22 to let it be known.  
23 because multiple indolent, incompetent  
24 improper, dictative misconduct,  
25 When the matter was before,  
26 he also ruled and agreed Judge order  
27 was inappropriate, I was before him I think  
28  
29 on I was before Judge  
30 entering a ruling to allow Mr to withdraw  
31 On I asked Judge  
32 if he got the I sent him he said no  
33 did but would not accept it, he gave it back

1 saying I have to file it and send it to:  
2 myself, which seemed odd because other  
3 I filed directly to him for his expedient  
4 attention, he had filed with court, but when it  
5 came to to unrefutable facts and truths  
6 for acquittal and dismissal of prosecution  
7 a motion clearly properly powerfully uncovering  
8 collusions, conspiracy  
9 and miscarriage of justice he gave it back  
10 But I sent back the next day to the  
11 to be filed by the as they send to  
12 so on

13 I ask him about it he did not want to talk  
14 about it, instead he wanted to have me  
15 to assist attorney or  
16 which was very similar, and ~~rough~~ sketchy  
17 That is why I ask The Judicial Conduct  
18 Commission to investigate and sanction the judges  
19 it should also be aware to the Commission  
20 that the  
21 and also knows Judge was very improper  
22 said would discuss this matter with  
23 Appellant advisors and  
24 I beseech this Commission to please help  
25 me an American Citizen, please get the court  
26 hearing transcripts, Judge deprive me of right to waive  
27 attorney with all eager and due respect with good  
28 faith and greater records I implore the Commission of  
29 Judicial Conduct Respectfully  
30  
31

32 PSARS<sup>§</sup>22-313 clearly says all Justice Court procedures are to be  
33 treated as Superior Court, thus the complaint could not be disregarded.