

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-171

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner improperly excluded evidence from his criminal trial.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: August 31, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 31, 2018.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-171

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

My name is _____ I am an inmate
at the prison complex in _____ I took
my case to trial because I was not guilty
of the states accusations. I started trial on _____
I was a pro per defendant
The state is accusing me of driving with a
suspended license. My drivers license was
valid. At trial the states attorney requested
to have certain evidence excluded from my
case. I objected to the states request but
denied my request. The only evidence
that I was left with is an officer testimonies.
The evidence that was withheld is a hearing
that occurred at the _____
the purpose
of this hearing is whether my drivers license
should be suspended. At the conclusion of this
hearing commissioner _____ suspended
my drivers license on a different date than the
states accusation. During my time of trial
I wanted to compare the suspension from the
second administrative to the hearing that
occurred on _____ There is absolutely
no reason why commissioner _____

Should be helping the state.

I am questioning this man decision making. I am questioning this man understanding of the rule of law. - - - should uphold and promote the integrity of this judicial system. This man needs to uphold the duties of a judicial officer, not favor the state. Justice must prevail. There are 2 commissioners who have done there duties Just Fine.

and These 2 Judges understood my opposing grounds Just Fine.

I will provide a copy of my arguments to the Judiciary comitee to fully comprehend my arguments and the state. I need the Judiciary comitee to get - - - to uphold the rule of law. Within due time I will be seeking to file criminal charges through internal affairs for they way certain people keep treating me. I will be calling from the prison complex in about - - - sometime after - - - to speak to - - - about my issues until then have a good one.