

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-176

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace did not allow him to be heard in an eviction matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: September 26, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 26, 2018.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-176

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ **Judge's Name:** _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I was the first plaintiff before Judge _____ In my action I had additional cost of a \$ _____ late fee and \$ _____ damages. He ask if I had a lease I said it was a month to month lease. He then denied the claim of the additional \$ _____ because it was month to month lease. I told him I had an original lease that carried over. He did not allow be to present the lease. The languare in the original lease allowed for the charges. He basically cut me off. It was obvouse he thought his time was more important than the law or me. Mayby he had a bad day. My concern is that justice was not administered or even explored. I hope this helps other Plaintiffs that come before the judge. Thanks for your consideration.