

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-186

Judges:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge had delayed rulings in his case, failed to appoint him counsel, had made improper rulings, was biased against him, and had retaliated against him in a family law matter. The complainant also alleged another superior court judge failed to respond to a pleading he filed. Finally, the complainant alleged another superior court judge interfered with court mail and made improper rulings.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officers did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: September 26, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 26, 2018.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

From:

Sent:

To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>;

Subject: Please add to complaint 18-186 and if you can please respond back with email been received thank you. please file new complaint on _____ and _____ details listed

Hello please add to my list of wrongful intentions and continued retaliation against those who are thought to be handicap or disabled for Judge _____ is over the time to rule on _____ motions which is his responsibility to do so there are a few other things that reflect his discrimination and or retaliation against me hint his speed on his ruling leaving out my evidence at beginning of the trial why was my statement not part of ruling factor and I was forced to go to that court date against my will with threats of futher injury to me if I didn't attend or if I didn't drop my lawsuit against _____ next _____ plans on up grading current false harrasment case in _____ misclass6 to a felony so prison time will be given how unjust for if I need information I can't call his office since they filed for charges but just denied my request for a different judge again this is not normal or lawful so can I please add judge _____ for not responding on my _____ complaint directed to _____ for it was a complaint not a motion directed to _____ and can I file a complaint on _____ for interfering with judicial court mail and making a complaint public records with out proper jurisdiction over the matter by ruling on complaint/request filed _____ order by judge _____ filed in case _____ addressed to me on proper way to request for a new

judge nothing mention that I would be denied by same party I have already address as an issue in my case/life. For there action are above and beyond the protection of the the law direct cause of injury has been proven from there action. I was assured that if I continue with complaint and lawsuit prison time is my future,,..... sorry for misspelling and grammar error

_____] petitions the _____ Court
to review the decision of the _____ in this matter.

- A. Issues Presented for Review. RULE 60, A.R.S -8-535F,613, A2ND784
- B. List of Additional Issues Presented to, but Not Decided by,
and Which May Need to be Decided if Review is Granted.
ACTING UNDER COLOR, RULE 60,69,72, RULING NEVER FINAL
926 UNDER ADVISMENT on _____ ruling on _____ was within
30 DAYS rules 60 violation on under laying for ruling 926 was based off a
rejected motion by different judge on or about _____ so case is moot
ruling is moot
- C. Statement of Facts. RULE 60, defamation, amendment of disability right to
aid in defense was never given right to question witness was never given
basic court room rules never followed evidence not legally obtained
judge never signed order to release my medical judge rules for medical pills

to be taken why what charges where filed against me? Why was I treated bad and punished for a mental illness that has never caused harm or danger to anyone and if that was the courts belief why wasn't I appointed assistance in defense for fairness as given a RIGHT BY UNITED STATES AMENDMENTS. False Imprisonment forced to go to a place where I don't want to go } court on } after I asked and appealed } for a fair none bias because of mental illness unknown to me and } appointed rule 11 doctors and from personal doctor note given in } of not a danger to self or others trial exhibits 5 doctors clear me no abuse never ailed to follow court orders only } mother who dates a } who claimed that } has a computer server in the } that has access to all incoming and outgoing emails evidence in } case as judge made a response to } testimony } any emails are moot no proof of who is sending } interference with binding contract } rule 56,12,(6) or 12(c) rule19, 4(d) rule15a,I } when required when cause of issues time to answer title28,763 547pleading 1928 371-381 equal protection discriminate right of attorney presented by counsel right to discredit witness deprivation of a fundamental rights liberty proceeding to terminate parental rights SANTOSKY VS KRAMER 455 US 745 753 1388 ALL LISTED WHY WAS I NOT GIVEN THESE BASIC RIGHTS MY GRANDFATHERS FATHERS ALL BORN IN THIS NATION } SO I AM } WITH OUT ANY REASON I DEMAND MY RIGHTS AS MY BROTHER GAVE BLOOD AND A LIFE LONG INJURY TO PROTEC MY RIGHTS

AND HIS } AND LOST } AND MY HELP

D. Reasons for Granting this Petition. RIGHT TO FAIR TRIAL AND EQUAL RIGHTS CURRENTLY BEING PUNISHED FOR A MENTAL ILLNESS WITH OUT ANY CRIME BEING DONE JUST A EX WIFE WORDS AND HER HURRASSMENT

E. [PARTY FILING THE PETITION FOR REVIEW MUST ATTACH