

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 18-187

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge violated his constitutional rights in a criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Gus Aragón, Peter J. Eckerstrom and George H. Foster, Jr., did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: September 17, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 17, 2018.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

ARIZONA BOARD OF BAR

1501 West Washington, Suite 104

PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007-3231

RE: BAR CHARGE, Complaint to the  
Committee for Judicial Review

To whom it may concern,

\_\_\_\_\_, violated his oath of office in  
\_\_\_\_\_, COURT, CASE/CAUSE NO. \_\_\_\_\_, when  
\_\_\_\_\_ violated \_\_\_\_\_ United States Constitutional  
rights as stated and evidenced below

A) U.S.C.A. AMEND. II; violated  
ARMS.

Rights to keep and bear

B) U.S.C.A. AMEND. V; violated

; absolute right against

self incrimination, rights to due process and discovery.

C) U.S.C.A. AMEND. VI; violated

; rights to due process, to

a speedy trial, right to effective assistance of counsel and an  
impartial jury.

d) U.S.C.A. AMEND VIII; violated 8<sup>th</sup> Amendments prohibition against  
cruel and unusual punishment.

e) U.S.C.A. AMEND XIV; Amendment rights against any state law that  
abridges the privileges, immunities, or rights of a citizen and  
equal protection of the law.

practiced law from the bench when he asked the JURORS if they believed the United States Constitution is the Supreme Law of the Land, ONE JUROR REPLIED " ", This JUROR WAS dismissed by

exceeded his Authority and practiced medicine without a license when he ordered  
 1 to be forcibly medicated.

violated rights to due process and discovery after taking 1 to deny first (1<sup>st</sup>) motion for production of DNA testing and continues to violate constitutional rights, obstruct justice and violate his oath of office by refusing to timely hear motions filed on

SEE ATTACHED HABEAS CORPUS FOR DETAILED EXHIBITS.

IN EVENT THAT " , did NOT SWEAR AN OATH to uphold and defend the Constitution of the United States of America THEN ALL HIS ORDERS ARE NULL AND VOID.

Filed this : - - - by