

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 18-195

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a commissioner improperly evaluated evidence, issued an erroneous decision and permitted an observer to signal to a witness while testifying.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: October 3, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 3, 2018.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2018-195

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

See attached

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Re: Case #

I am informing you regarding the gross injustice relating to the , who is disabled with . From until I was the (in excellent standing) until my former wife ) challenged me and chose to replace me. This challenge was the made by as the other were unsuccessful. This came about when changed residence to , which gave him a substantial surplus of funds each month. There were many falsehoods and questionable practices advanced by and her attorney during the proceedings. I foolishly represented myself, which made for an unlevel playing field.

According to the a huge factor was the ) report. I was not given the report until during the first court date /. Obviously, I did not have time to evaluate this report until after the proceeding. Shortly after the proceeding, I found this report to be filled with lies, distortions and general incompetence. I immediately left a message on voice mail, expressing my displeasure. On the following morning chose to withdraw my , by speaker phone, due to my message to . At that time I had no chance to rebut this bogus report. This report and my rebuttal are attached.

I voiced my displeasure to , who was rude and unresponsive. I further wrote to , who only . The troubling question is why would write such a false report?

The decision was further based on my using excess funds to pay legal defense fees and his own , which is allowed by legal statutes and Regulations.

also chose to disallow and ignore:

1. investigation of possible sexual assaults of ) by
2. failure to account for \$ bank account in her control.
3. abandonment of mentally ill
4. numerous death threats (on produced CD) against me.

I would request that you review the enclosed and listen to the Court Recordings to correct this abuse of power. For the benefit of , please contact me to further clarify this serious situation.

In conclusion, decision focused on her conclusions:

1. Misuse of to pay for his

states that there is no dollar limit on entertainment expenses as long as the funds are used for the best interests and do not deplete the funds.

2. Misuse of Funds to pay for -

) also states that these fees are allowed as long as they are in s best interest and do not deplete the funds. I had previously paid, out of my pocket, a total of \$ on defending in previous attempts (by ) to . I did not engage a defense attorney, in this case, in order to keep the costs at a minimum.

3. Court Investigator bogus report—

report contained numerous lies, distortions and an obvious bias favoring (see enclosed). When questioned on these untruths (in Court), replied that she did not have access to this report and could not recall what she had stated. Her Superior— later stated that she had absolute access to the report.

It would appear that this matter goes beyond bias and incompetence; as corruption is a possibility. I have no doubt that perjury was committed by three individuals. Thank you for your consideration in this serious matter.

Sincerely,

encl.