

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-212

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings in a forfeiture matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Art Hinshaw did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: October 18, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 18, 2018.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

VIA ELECTRONIC DELIVERY

VIA US MAIL

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

1501 West Washington Street Suite 229

Phoenix Arizona 85007

Re:

Judge:

Location:

Case:

Attorney's:

I understand that the commission cannot reverse court decisions or assign a new judge. I affirm under penalty of perjury that the following allegations contained in the attached document are true.

Dated this day of

Recently I provided proof through an email to Judge regarding fraudulent title transfers regarding the car that was seized from me on . I stipulated to the release this vehicle to in . It has now come to my attention that never deposited the funds seized from me into a trust account. I provided the same to . The funds could not have been ordered to when they were already in the . I provided a copy of this check and the emails to to the on prior. If you need an additional copy, please let me know.

The Order that was filed in the case on is the only document filed in the case by the State that is not filed electronically. Also, the signature on the bottom of order is not authenticated nor does it name the judge who is signing the order. I am unable to file anything additional in the case because Judge has said he will hold me in contempt.

I acknowledge that I have filed an abundance of pleadings, but I am representing myself in a case regarding my constitutional rights being violated and only do so to protect myself.

This information on top of the fact that Judge retained confirms he was aware of what is going on.