

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 18-215

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly rejected a pleading in a family law case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Art Hinshaw did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: October 18, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 18, 2018.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

*I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the facts and statements contained in this declaration, including any attached statements, are true, correct, and based upon my personal knowledge:*

**Signature** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date** \_\_\_\_\_

**CONTINUATION**

**Circumstances surrounding your complaint:**

Judge [redacted], rejected my motion for child support arrears to be investigated and reimbursed and placing it in the court file with no further action taken, due to no indication that a copy of the motion has been sent to the other party. While I did have proof that I did notify all the parties needing to be notified and I had proof. The judge still dismissed this motion.