

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 18-275

---

Judges:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged two superior court judges improperly asserted jurisdiction over him.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officers did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Art Hinshaw did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: December 12, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 12, 2018.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

To Whom It May Concern,

In the case (forgive my mistakes the case number is in fact \_\_\_\_\_ as you cited, also \_\_\_\_\_ is the correct judge... there have been quite a few people changing on the case without notice-example is the recent change of counsel from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_, ignored my repeated challenges to jurisdiction, thus h \_\_\_\_\_ ttempt to deprive me ( \_\_\_\_\_ of life liberty and property without due process.

For the record, I \_\_\_\_\_ ( \_\_\_\_\_ standing upon the land and residing in a state ( \_\_\_\_\_ ). This is a attempt to violate my 4<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> amendment rights, thus violating their oath of office, namely to “

”

The filings in which \_\_\_\_\_ is guilty of violating due process are as follows:

On \_\_\_\_\_ the transfer to arbitration ignored the jurisdictional challenge of \_\_\_\_\_

On \_\_\_\_\_ the motion to dismiss was denied (no grounds cited, no evidence provided).

On \_\_\_\_\_ an order was issued to “ \_\_\_\_\_ ”.

At some point between \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ took up the case and has repeatedly ignored challenges made to the jurisdiction. On \_\_\_\_\_ I filed a motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction and indicated the subsequent lack of evidence or proof, then having received no response from the court mailed a challenge to jurisdiction, motion to vacate and motion to dismiss, which again was ignored by \_\_\_\_\_. Having not established jurisdiction the orders, judgments and hearings in this case lack substance and are void ab initio. The assertion of jurisdiction in this case demeans, disrespects, and violates due process, but more importantly goes against “ \_\_\_\_\_ ”.

Those orders issued by \_\_\_\_\_ are as follows:

Hearing conducted on \_\_\_\_\_ ignored jurisdictional challenges.

Hearing conducted on \_\_\_\_\_ ignored jurisdictional challenges. (During that trial I attempted to reiterate the jurisdictional challenges that have not been addressed [as of \_\_\_\_\_ no response to the motion was docketed, and my filing of \_\_\_\_\_ was not docketed).

Under duress I attended to hearing by \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ to, yet again, challenge the jurisdiction... as no response had yet been docketed, nor provided to my person, by the court. As of \_\_\_\_\_ there is still no evidence or proof of jurisdiction in this case. (See attached screen shot taken \_\_\_\_\_ ) During the trial \_\_\_\_\_ move forward from the jurisdictional challenge without addressing it. When I attempted to request that jurisdiction be proven I, \_\_\_\_\_ as stopped mid sentence and told they would not hear my arguments on jurisdiction as they have been denied. Still no denial shows in the docket and no response has been provided to me either electronically or by mail. (See attached screen shot taken \_\_\_\_\_

Finally, during the hearing I requested for jurisdictional proof to be shown, \_\_\_\_\_ stopped me, told me we would not entertain . The orders issued by \_\_\_\_\_ hold no validity, the judgments issued in this case are as follows:

The stipulated agreement and injunction issued on \_\_\_\_\_ is void.

The order to show cause issued on \_\_\_\_\_ is void.

The order to enforce the terms of the stipulated agreement and injunction issued on \_\_\_\_\_ is void.

The order to submit a pretrial statement issued \_\_\_\_\_ is void.

The order for all parties to appear in person issued \_\_\_\_\_ is void.

The hearing set for \_\_\_\_\_ should have been canceled in lieu of a decision to the jurisdictional challenge.

The order for contempt issued \_\_\_\_\_ is void

The order awarding attorney fees issued \_\_\_\_\_ is void.

All other orders issued by this court at any time in this matter are void, until such time as jurisdiction can be proven.

I engaged in these proceedings only under duress (threat of personal and financial harm through deprivation of life, liberty and property), and did so \_\_\_\_\_ I have not visited \_\_\_\_\_ I am standing upon the land in a \_\_\_\_\_ state. The contract which was suggestively held to provide jurisdiction expressly forbids lawsuit in **any** court, \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ acting in their official capacity have no right to assert authority over me, and thus have disrespected the courts of \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ and indeed the court systems everywhere. They both have attempted to violate my Constitutionally protected rights under 1<sup>st</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> amendment. In so doing they have violated their oath of office, namely to “

”

Both \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ should, at the very least, be disciplined and effectually dismissed from office. I will include this letter my \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_\_ the \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_

Commission on Judicial Conduct  
wrote:

The Commission is in receipt of your email. The case number highlighted below differs from the case number on your attached document and it appears that the case number is the correct matter. not a judge or commissioner named “ ” at the Court, however, there is a lings in your case. Is it your intention to file the complaint as to Judge and Judge Please confirm. Thank you.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington, Suite 229  
Phoenix, AZ 85007  
602-452-3200

---

**From:**  
**Sent:**  
**To:** Commission on Judicial Conduct  
**Subject:** Improper Jurisdiction

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To Whom It May Concern,

The specifically Honorable and have repeatedly attempted to assert jurisdictional authority over my person though, no evidence for jurisdiction has been admitted. times I have challenged personal jurisdiction and times, against the notions of fair play and substantial justice, the judges in question have ignored the challenge. who resides in I do no business in or the state I have no property in county or in and I have not established any level of

minimum contacts in                      Any implication of such jurisdiction is improper and renders the all of the judgments issued void. Though the                      court continues to issue judgement against the name.

Included is a filing demand of dismissal and quo warranto. I would like this case to follow constitutional guidelines of due process, and these judges to be disciplined. I have included this to the                      , the                      and the                     

Any and all of these documents are available at the                      Court docket case #                      and I am happy to provide copies of any or all of these documents at your request.

Thanks,