

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-297

Judges:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged two superior court judges were operating outside of their jurisdictional authority.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officers did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Denise K. Aguilar did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: January 9, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 9, 2019.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2018-297

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

IF SAID JUDGE, COMMISSIONER, MASTER, AND/OR REFEREE IS SECRETLY OPERATING IN A JURISDICTION NOT SANCTIONED BY THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION IT IS NOT ONLY ILLEGAL, BUT IT'S ALSO UNCONSTITUTIONAL... UNDER ARIZONA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 1.1 COMPLIANCE WITH THE LAW,

UNDER ARTICLE VI CLAUSE 2 WITHIN THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, STATES...

"THIS CONSTITUTION, AND THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES..., SHALL BE THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND, AND THE JUDGES [COMMISSIONERS, MASTERS, AND/OR REFEREES] IN EVERY STATE SHALL BE BOUND THEREBY, ANY THING IN THE CONSTITUTION OR LAWS OF ANY STATE TO THE CONTRARY NOTWITHSTANDING."

EACH JUDGE, COMMISSIONER, MASTER, AND/OR REFEREE, HAS AFFIRMED AND/OR SWORN TO SUPPORT THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AS A PART OF THEIR OATH OF OFFICE, THAT BEING SAID, UNDER ARTICLE III SECTION 2 WITHIN THE AFOREMENTIONED CONSTITUTION, STATES...

"THE JUDICIAL POWER SHALL EXTEND TO ALL CASES IN [COMMON] LAW AND EQUITY... TO ALL CASES OF ADMIRALTY AND MARITIME JURISDICTION..."

NOWHERE WITHIN THAT SECTION, NOR WITHIN ITS ENTIRETY DOES IT MENTION ANYTHING ABOUT A STATUTORY JURISDICTION, THAT ALONE IN AND OF ITSELF PROVES THAT SAID INDIVIDUAL IS KNOWINGLY OPERATING UNDER AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL JURISDICTION, WHAT MAKES IT ILLEGAL, IS IF THIS HYPOTHETICAL (STATUTORY) JURISDICTION, AND THE SAID INDIVIDUAL NAMED HEREIN IS KNOWINGLY, VOLUNTARILY, INTENTIONALLY, AND INTELLIGENTLY OPERATING UNDER THE GUISE OF SOME OTHER JURISDICTION, WITHIN THE AFOREMENTIONED SECTION OF THE ABOVE ARTICLE,

LETS SAY FOR EXAMPLE... ADMIRALTY / MARITIME
JURISDICTION, THAT WOULD CONSTITUTE FRAUD ON
MULTIPLE LEVELS, WOULD IT NOT? TO NAME A FEW
FRAUD IN THE INDUCEMENT, FRAUDULENT CONCEAL-
MENT, AND/OR HIDDEN FRAUD

I,

KNOWINGLY, VOLUNTARILY, AND INTENTIONALLY
DO AFFIRM UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY AND UPON MY
OWN LIABILITY, THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE, CORRECT,
NOT MISLEADING, AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY
KNOWLEDGE. I HEREIN AND HEREBY RESERVE ALL MY
RIGHTS PER UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE (UCC) 1-308.

SIGNED

THIS DAY OF _____

ALL RIGHTS RESERVE

UCC 1-308

2018-297

TO WHOMEVER THIS MAY CONCERN, I FIND MYSELF
FILING AND/OR LODGING THIS JUDICIAL COMPLAINT AGAIN-
ST JUDGE, COMMISSIONER, MASTER, AND/OR REFEREE

AFTER IT WAS BROUGHT TO MY ATTENTION THAT THE
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
DOESN'T OPERATE UNDER THE COMMON LAW BUT RATHER
UNDER STATUTORY LAW, UNDER STATUTORY JURISDICTION. A JURIS-
DICTION THAT I HAVE NEVER HEARD OF, AND ONE THAT I HAVE
YET TO FIND ON GOOGLE, IN THE BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY 4TH,
5TH, 6TH AND/OR 9TH EDITION(S) RESPECTFULLY, OR IN EITHER
THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, OR THE ARIZONA CONSTITUTION
FOR THAT MATTER.

IF SAID JUDGE, COMMISSIONER, MASTER, AND
OR REFEREE IS SECRETLY OPERATING IN A JURISDICTION
NOT SANCTIONED BY THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, IT IS
NOT ONLY ILLEGAL, BUT IT'S ALSO UNCONSTITUTIONAL... UNDER
ARIZONA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 1.1 COMPLIANCE
WITH THE LAW.

"

"

UNDER ARTICLE VI CLAUSE 2
WITHIN THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, STATES...

" THIS
CONSTITUTION, AND THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES... SHALL BE
THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND, AND THE JUDGES [COMMISS-
SIONERS, MASTERS, AND/OR REFEREES] IN EVERY STATE SHALL
BE BOUND THEREBY, ANY THING IN THE CONSTITUTION OR LAWS

OF ANY STATE TO THE CONTRARY NOTWITHSTANDING."

EACH

JUDGE, COMMISSIONER, MASTER, AND/OR REFEREE, HAS AFFIRMED AND/OR SWORN TO SUPPORT THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AS A PART OF THEIR OATH OF OFFICE, THAT BEING SAID, UNDER ARTICLE III SECTION 2 WITHIN THE AFOREMENTIONED CONSTITUTION, STATES...

"THE JUDICIAL POWER SHALL EXTEND TO ALL CASES IN [COMMON] AND EQUITY... TO ALL CASES OF ADMIRALTY AND MARITIME JURISDICTION..."

NOWHERE WITHIN

THAT SECTION, NOR WITHIN ITS ENTIRETY DOES IT MENTION ANY THING ABOUT A STATUTORY JURISDICTION, THAT ALONE IN AND OF ITSELF PROVES THAT SAID INDIVIDUAL IS KNOWINGLY OPERATING UNDER AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL JURISDICTION, WHAT MAKES IT ILLEGAL, IS IF THIS HYPOTHETICAL (STATUTORY) JURISDICTION, AND SAID INDIVIDUAL NAMED HEREIN IS KNOWINGLY, VOLUNTARILY, INTENTIONALLY, AND INTELLIGENTLY OPERATING UNDER THE GUISE OF SOME OTHER JURISDICTION, WITHIN THE AFOREMENTIONED SECTION OF THE ABOVE ARTICLE.

LET'S SAY FOR EXAMPLE... ADMIRALTY/MARITIME JURISDICTION, THAT WOULD CONSTITUTE FRAUD ON MULTIPLE LEVELS, WOULD IT NOT? TO NAME A FEW FRAUD IN THE INDUCEMENT, FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT, AND/OR HIDDEN FRAUD.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**