

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 18-299

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge violated his constitutional rights.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member George H. Foster, Jr. did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: February 6, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 6, 2019.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-299

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

This is probably the last complaint in respect to the law suit of the 6-1 article the state exonerated with your assistance is not very good in what one would be safe in the Ar. rules of criminal procedure State 8.8-1 (A) priorities of criminal case (B) duty of defense counsel (C) duty of prosecution the 5th 6th amend is violated in the right to a fair (Impartial) and speedy trial My Const. rights were violated by each judge in complaint with your office as well as the complaint so in short hand of the law with you and the whole

including @ have kept and make me at freedom - That's New had I've been abuse including mental anguish also unlawful held / detained / imprisoned for Debt. In State of Ar