

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 18-354

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him and made several improper rulings in a civil matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Gus Aragón and George H. Foster, Jr. did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: February 27, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 27, 2019.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2018-354

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Please see attached official complaint, pages 1-12

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

--

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Please see attached official complaint, pages 1-12

To: Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2018-354

REF: Case Number:

Judge: Judge

Court

**Commission on Judicial Conduct official complaint against Judge**

In I became the victim of a frivolous lawsuit launched by from of which myself and my now wife were forced to from their secured and then had to endure years of further undue torment caused by Court Judge Fearing for our lives and narrowly escaping from we were still being haunted and terrorized by those that had already caused us great emotional and financial harm.

I was formerly a for the responsible for operations, I took a position with a company based in That job led me to fear for my life, as well as being owed of from my former employer. I had helped build their from the ground up. Towards the completion of project is when things really started to get bad and ultimately grew unsafe for myself and the other two " " working , one of which is now my wife. We came to find out the of the company likely owed to a number of parties. men began at the project site their from him of which they claimed he owed. When our other co-worker tried to leave the compound I witnessed the armed guards preventing until I was able to intervene. A number of other harrowing incidents occurred that gave us great concern. Once we finally made the decision to leave ourselves based on our deteriorating personal security, the said he would not let us leave. I reached out to friend out of to assist us with our He made arrangements for us to and take refuge in We also made the aware of our perilous situation. Leading up to our departure it was extremely tense, not knowing if we were going to make it out of there or not, the days were only filled with fear and fright for my wife and I, hiding in our and never leaving each other's sight. After an we made it out in

After from Plaintiff) launched an unfounded legal attack against me in which prevented me to work in my given field because the affected the eligibility of my Further compounding this, I wasn't even eligible for unemployment because never paid any portion of their employer a requirement of the executed employment agreement. To defend against their frivolous lawsuit which was filled with nearly a fabricated complaints, I was forced to retain an attorney and the ensuing legal defense cost me an enormous amount of money that I did not have being that I had already lost my life savings because of

From the moment this case was assigned to Judge \_\_\_\_\_ she deliberately seemed to not observe standardized court procedures or established case law, and appeared to pursue a path of deliberate activism that was openly discriminatory and deliberately biased towards a decorated \_\_\_\_\_, the Defendant, \_\_\_\_\_ in her consistent mishandling of this frivolous case. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ demonstrated a clear and reoccurring pattern of bias towards the Defendant in favor of the unscrupulous parties located in the \_\_\_\_\_. Since the inception of the case, Judge \_\_\_\_\_ showed a continued demonstrated bias towards \_\_\_\_\_ who previously served in the \_\_\_\_\_ in combat \_\_\_\_\_ and was later employed in various capacities in \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ as a \_\_\_\_\_ for various \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ related programs. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ previous professional background as a \_\_\_\_\_ at the \_\_\_\_\_ fellow at the \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ seemed to put her personal beliefs and convictions at direct odds with that of the Defendant. \_\_\_\_\_, a \_\_\_\_\_ " \_\_\_\_\_, " \_\_\_\_\_, " \_\_\_\_\_ " and \_\_\_\_\_ all seemed to represent values and beliefs contrary to that of Judge \_\_\_\_\_. It's the belief based on the actions demonstrated by Judge \_\_\_\_\_ that she failed to conduct herself impartially, fairly, or in a neutral manner in accordance with established judicial procedure during the course of the trial.

Judge \_\_\_\_\_ previous professional experience (from her official Judicial Biography) includes:

- : \_\_\_\_\_ Court
- : Partner,
- : Attorney,
- : Managing Attorney,
- : \_\_\_\_\_ Defender,
- : \_\_\_\_\_ Defender,
- : Attorney,
- : Reference Attorney,
- : Fellow,
- : Fellow,
- : Law
- : Intern,

The following are examples of the bias experienced and gross procedural mishandling demonstrated by Judge \_\_\_\_\_ in the case of \_\_\_\_\_

1. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ allowed improper service of the compliant to stand when overwhelming evidence proved beyond all reasonable doubt that the Defendant was not properly \_\_\_\_\_, in an entirely different city, and that his \_\_\_\_\_ was served and not him. The Defendant and his wife were staying the entire \_\_\_\_\_ at a hotel with other longtime friends. \_\_\_\_\_ provided through multiple credit card receipts showing his actual location at the same time of the falsified " \_\_\_\_\_ " by the complainants, affidavits from multiple witnesses attested to the Defendant being in their presence at the time of service and being physically present more than \_\_\_\_\_

away from the place of service. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ allowed the Process Server to submit a falsified \_\_\_\_\_ without a physical description of the person being served, which ended up being a \_\_\_\_\_ (the defendant's \_\_\_\_\_) and not the then Defendant \_\_\_\_\_ intimately to the complainants. The Defendant's \_\_\_\_\_ also never accepted the summons and the Process Server left it on the \_\_\_\_\_ for the wind to blow away. Additionally, the Plaintiffs deliberately took more than \_\_\_\_\_ to serve the Defendant ( \_\_\_\_\_ or \_\_\_\_\_, days) for no discernable reason other than to deliberately prolong the legal proceedings in an effort to inflict as much undue harm as possible on \_\_\_\_\_. This was a pattern that would go on to repeat itself in every filing the Plaintiffs were required to submit and as permitted by Judge \_\_\_\_\_

2. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ falsely created and accepted jurisdiction for this case when it did not legally exist in \_\_\_\_\_ or even the \_\_\_\_\_. The Plaintiffs were citizens of and a business entity registered entirely in \_\_\_\_\_ and no time have ever done any business, had dealings with, business registrations, or connections to the State of \_\_\_\_\_. Additionally, \_\_\_\_\_ should have been legally restricted from being able to pursue its claims in \_\_\_\_\_ County because it was not registered to do business in Arizona under statute ARS 10-1502.

\_\_\_\_\_ was a company owned by an \_\_\_\_\_ and registered in the \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_ with its principle place of business in \_\_\_\_\_. They falsely filed suit in \_\_\_\_\_ County against \_\_\_\_\_ a then resident of the State of \_\_\_\_\_ for false claims that were purported to have taken place in \_\_\_\_\_ and not even in \_\_\_\_\_ County. There wasn't a single justifiable reason why this complaint should have been presented in \_\_\_\_\_ Court. Due to this grossly egregious overreaching and arbitrary acceptance of non-existent jurisdiction by Judge \_\_\_\_\_ it can only be presumed this occurred through gross incompetence or a driving personal interest in the case from Judge \_\_\_\_\_ based on her previous professional background and personal beliefs that were not separated from her office. Every legal expert consulted regarding this case came to the same conclusion that this case should have never been accepted in \_\_\_\_\_

Even the Plaintiff's lengthy and false complaints don't once mention the State of \_\_\_\_\_ Judge \_\_\_\_\_ arbitrarily allowed \_\_\_\_\_ from \_\_\_\_\_ to file suit without justification against a decorated \_\_\_\_\_ for purported acts that did not even occur in the \_\_\_\_\_ or specifically even in the state of \_\_\_\_\_

3. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ allowed these parties in \_\_\_\_\_ to submit lengthy and merit-less mountain of false claims against a US citizen that were only designed to further intimidate and harass him in the \_\_\_\_\_ after he and another \_\_\_\_\_ employee ( \_\_\_\_\_ ) had to \_\_\_\_\_ out of fear for their lives from the complainant's \_\_\_\_\_ and after being owed \_\_\_\_\_ of dollars that were never paid by the complainants in performance of their duties for these parties. The parties in \_\_\_\_\_ violated every single covenant of their signed and executed agreement and left the Defendants penniless. The Defendant had to spend more than \_\_\_\_\_ defending the false claims that the judge ruled \_\_\_\_\_ although evidence submitted clearly illustrated \_\_\_\_\_

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**