

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 18-357

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge (now retired) committed ethics violations during a settlement conference.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: March 27, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 27, 2019.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

State of Arizona

Court

Comp  
2018-357

Rule 81 Judicial Ethic violation

AND<sup>for</sup>

County

Court

Motion Requesting  
Review of Judicial  
Ethic Violation

VS

CASE NUMBER

Requesting a Complaint Form

ON

, During a Pretrial

Settlement Conference Before: The Honorable

- State

VS

Seperate Violation of Judicial Ethics

transpired that Effected the Outcome of the Hearing to suppress Evidence AND  
A Fair Hearing.

Ground

HONORABLE

COERCES

Defendant

IN A Attempt to except Plea

offer without ANY objection by

Defender

of the Due Process violation.

FACTS The Honorable Judges states for the Record constituting  
PLAIN ERROR of Due Process. (Refer to Pretrial Hearing - Settlement

Conference

Dated

)

underline

Portion of Attachment # following this motion.) AND Page

Judge

trys to Compell the defendant in a criminal case

to be a witness Against himself; A 5th Amendment violation of the Constitution

Ground # Part 1 The Defendant Filed A complaint

Date Against Attorney

UNDER Rule 81 Judicial Ethics Violation -

No. [Refer to Attachment # state

complaint AND SUPERVISOR of the office

State

The Defendant

Grounds for that Complaint AND this Complaint to Commission of Judicial Conduct is the defendant Attorney

Committed MAIL FRAUD by opening AND revealing contents of ~~the~~ MAIL to the Court, the Defendants,

never gave Authorization to open MAIL A violation of Federal Law Constituting Mail Fraud. (Refer to Pretrial Conference state of

Date

) Refer to Attachment # settlement conference.)

Part 2 Also, Defendant is file this Judicial Ethic Violation Against

Attorney

for fail to object After Judge

tried to coerce Defendant into A plea bargain AND Attorney

Compells Defendant During settlement

Conference to be witness Against himself by NOT objecting to

Judge Line of Question that he state's CANNOT be used Against

him IN A Court of LAW. OF ANY Admission the defendant MAKES

Part 3 The Court Ask the Attorney for Defense.

disclosing  
without ~~disclosure~~

privileged information, what would the defense be in this matter? The defense

Attorney provide A UNAUTHORIZED ineffectiveness of Counsel

Statement that Every Attorney in court Room Realized WAS A Release of

Attorney/client information AND A Motive that A potential Crime take place.

Refer to Pretrial Conference settlement Conference -

Dated

) Refer to