

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-361

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against her son and refused to make ADA accommodations in a dependency proceeding.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the Commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the Commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the Complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 3, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 3, 2019.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-361

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I am the ^{in this} case. Since the beginning of this case I have been to almost every hearing. I have witnessed, directly and maliciously in open court discriminate and retaliate against my son, and other witnesses in open court. My son is a veteran.

has refused to make reasonable ADA accommodations after multiple requests. My son requested multiple times to have his assistive record keeping device to help him recall what had been shared/ordered in the court hearings. without authority to do so scheduled a contempt hearing without the prosecutor filing an order to show cause, which is required under the rules. My son reported to the and oversight agencies. with this knowledge continues to discriminate and retaliate against my son by maliciously acting as the moving party of the case, and showing clear bias, by scheduling a contempt hearing.

Page 2 of 2 Nothing follows