

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 18-369

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to act diligently and violated his right to a speedy trial.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the Commission is limited to this mission.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the Commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the Complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Diane M. Johnsen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: April 3, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 3, 2019.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**2018-369**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

peel

To whom it may concern:

2018-369

My name is

Date of Birth

I am currently incarcerated

at

My Case No.

I have been incarcerated on General Robbery/Agg Assault Since

I have had Seven Lawyers on my case. For reasons I don't know the state keep's taken my counsel away and appointing me new counsel. I have yet to be appointed sufficient counsel. I have had Different judges on my case. I do not know what it is. My current judge has appointed

out of the attorneys I've had on my case to me in a period of when was appointed

judge on my case in date I was then being represented by attorney with prosecutor

I now have a new attorney and a new prosecutor on my case.

In Early I filed Bar Complaints as well as lawsuits

per my judge as well as on my previous

counsel and my then prosecutor

The complaints as the record well reflect is Do not only prosecutorial misconduct, But also the judge (manifesting) injustice as well as judicial misconduct.

and all other court's counsel violated my constitutional rights in more ways than one, one being my right to a speedy trial.

My then attorney, not only violated Rule 42 of Professional Conduct by violating attorney client privilege when he admitted to me that he discussed personal conversations we had with my then prosecutor,

and the judge all violated my constitutional rights to a speedy trial and of due process due to the fact that on numerous court dates

would lie on the record, by stating he needed continuances due to the fact he stated that he did not have my full discovery to properly prepare my defense; would knowingly know that

was lying due to the fact that it is her office who provided with my full discovery in when

was first appointed my case. Therefore when would lie and state that he needed continuances,

Further pushing my trial dates back, would correct's this lie by not exposing the fact that she herself had personally emailed my full discovery

in

At the time of all this, I had no clue as to this all being a lie because I was not provided with my Full Discovery until

in which case I still have not fully seen, due to my current counsel, stopping my Bond Investigator from showing me it.

Once my Bond Investigator received my Full Discovery,

she informed me that there were email's from

to Dated as early as early that she sent him of my Full Discovery, these email's proving that knowingly lied on

the record to obtain False continuances on my case.

In the rules of Canon and Criminal procedure it speaks of prejudice and on what grounds a judge can, and cannot grant continuance in a case. (A attorney or stating) that he Does'nt have Full Discovery

is not extraordinary circumstances, in which law states that's the only way a continuance shall be granted.

Unintentionally exposed me receiving this information regarding these lies that both my attorney & the prosecutor had been telling I brought it to my judge attention. Upon doing this, acted as if she

did not care. She simply informed me to put it in writing and mail it to her. I did as

asked me and at my court hearing in early

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**