

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 18-370

---

Judges:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge and a superior court commissioner improperly dismissed his civil case, thereby denying him the right to a jury trial.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the Commission is limited to this mission.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the Commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officers did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the Complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 3, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 3, 2019.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

Commission on Judicial Conduct  
Official Complaint of Misconduct

Re:

The aforementioned judge  
of the  
has violated plaintiff's  
Amendment right to a jury  
trial by dismissing a complaint  
for invalid reasons, see

In appraising the  
sufficiency of the complaint we  
follow, of course, the accepted  
rule that a complaint should not  
be dismissed for failure to state  
a claim unless it appears beyond  
doubt that plaintiff can prove  
no set of facts in support of  
his claim which would entitle him  
to relief.

U.S. 102.6 Ed. 2d 80 (1957);

V. 416 U.S. 232 (1974),

Dated  
of