

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 18-376

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The Complainant alleged a municipal court judge imposed court fees that he could not pay as he is indigent.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the Commission is limited to this mission.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the Commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the Complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 10, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 10, 2019.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**From:**

**Sent:**

**To:** Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>

**Subject:** judge forcing indignant person with financial waver to access the courts.

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

type of court:

Is the case pending before this judge? Yes my change of venue will not happen until I pay the court for my right to fair and unbiased venue under the fourth amendment, eighth and eleventh amendments. The judge is also referring me for criminal delinquency for court fees I can't pay as an indigent defendant.

location: Court Judge :

witnesses: anyone scheduled for a hearing that day in court including but not limited to contracted attorneys and public defenders

prosecutor:

Case

On I was in the court house on the cases above. I am indignant and being forced to represent myself. I placed a motion to change venue as all alleged victims and witnesses against me all work for the local . It was found to be a conflict of interest for the court to try me while being the chief complainant and alleged victim of my pure speech. On the cases it shows I had submitted indigent status and now able to pay court fees. Judge not only charged me for my right to be tried in a non-bias court but has also referred me for criminal delinquency for being unable to pay court fees relating to my defense. This is where the initial arrest was for constitutionally protected activity and under the city charter affects my ability to run for public office and redress grievances with my government under the first amendment. This involves a case where no discovery. All documents are signed under threat and duress with my initials. attached are screen shots of minute entries from the court website.

--