

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 18-381

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace (now retired) improperly denied her request to set aside a judgment against her.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the Commission is limited to this mission.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the Commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the Complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 10, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 10, 2019.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

Comp

2018-381

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

*In pro se*

**IN THE COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF**

**In re:**

**CASE No.:**

**Plaintiff,  
VS.**

**MOTION TO REQUEST A STATEMENT  
OF DECISION. REQUEST FOR  
RECONSIDERATION re SET-ASIDE  
JUDGEMENT, INTER ALIA.**

**Defendant..**

**HONORABLE**

***TO ALL PARTIES INVOLVED:***

I, Plaintiff, do hereby state from my personal knowledge as follows:

**JURISDICTION**

1. Defendant, has resided at all relevant times in the county of \_\_\_\_\_ state of Arizona.
2. Plaintiff, at all relevant times lived in the county of \_\_\_\_\_ state of Arizona.
3. The events giving rise to this litigation occurred in the city of \_\_\_\_\_ in the county of \_\_\_\_\_, state of Arizona.
4. This court has proper jurisdiction over this matter, and venue is proper in this court.

///  
///  
///  
///  
///

1  
2  
3 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**  
4

5. As of Defendant, satisfied all obligations set forthe by this court.
6. As such, Defendant has repeatedly, albeit respectfully requested this court to set aside judgement in this matter.
7. In fact, court records reflect that since approximately Defendant began filing for relief with this court, whereas the County Attorney had no objection. In fact, Defendant filed on and this court responded Untimely on Defendant has followed the court clerk instruction of and , to no avail. What should be a fairly simple process has taken on the outward appearance of impropriety and abuse of power. (SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT . )
8. Further, documents reflect on, Defendant contacted the court and spoke directly with court clerk, Whereas, specifically stated, “ ” , confirmed that “ ”
9. On the court provided a ray of hope to clear this matter. The court provided instruction to the Defendant via court clerk, Whereas, left an evidentiary voicemail on the Defendant’s phone stating in pertinent part that, “ ” (SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT . )
- As such, Defendant carefully followed the instruction of the court. On Defendant filed a “ ” (SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT . )

- 1 10. In fact, County Attorney's Office received the Defendant's request and once  
2 again the court was Untimely being more than later, in responding. On  
3 County Attorney's Office finally, submitted their response to  
4 this court. That being, " " (SEE  
5 **ATTACHED EXHIBIT** )
- 6 11. For the record, the Defendant satisfied ALL fines with this court. Therefore, the court  
7 has not logical reason to hold the Defendant hostage here. (SEE **ATTACHED**  
8 **EXHIBIT** )
- 9 12. What's problematic is The Justice Court made a judicial oversight by making a  
10 decision prior to receiving the State's response. In doing such, created an outward  
11 appearance of impropriety, as this conflicts with the court's own orders. Perhaps there  
12 was an oversight, but it needs to be corrected forthewith.
- 13 13. Similarly, in a separate case, the Defendant was successful in following the process and  
14 procedure in setting aside a case Yet, this court has caused  
15 intentional mayhem and imposed unnecessary steps in filing " " This  
16 is wrong on every level. The Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct does not condone  
17 said behavior.
- 18 14. Even more egregious, The Justice Court neglected to provide a Basis for its  
19 decision to deny the Defendant's request. Which could be construed as abuse of power  
20 under color of authority and intentional infliction of emotional distress.
- 21 15. That said, Defendant request a statement of decision with specificity as to exactly why  
22 the court denied the Defendant's request.
- 23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 PRAYER FOR RELIEF

2 **WHEREFORE**, Defendant request that a set-aside judgment in this matter be Granted, and a  
3 statement of decision provided on all decisions to avoid impropriety. It's been a long road to  
4 justice. I avow under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of Arizona that, the  
5 foregoing facts are true and correct.

6  
7 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this      day of

8  
9  
10  
11 Copy of the foregoing filed  
this      day of      , with:

12  
13  
14 Copy of foregoing mailed this  
day of      to:

15  
16  
17 Cc:  
18 The Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
19 ///