

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-010

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly denied various motions and requests in his criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Diane M. Johnsen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: May 22, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 22, 2019.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2019-010

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I waived my right to counsel and refused advisory counsel, following Mrs. resignation in court; Judge forced me to have advisory counsel. the very next court date judge made unlawful extra-judicial comments intentionally with the purpose of obstructing justice; stating "

I am aware this may not all be extra judicial comments but they are referable to mis-representation or mis-statements of law and facts. Judge denied my right to fair and speedy public trial, denied my right to challenge the grand jury proceedings, and denied my right to fairness, dignity, life, liberty, property, release, and due process of law by denying my motion for fair and speedy trial, my motion for new probable cause though a substantial right had been effected my motion for legal supplies, uninterrupted sleep before hearings, full discovery etc. Judge allowed and or deleted or vacated my settlement conference that ordered to have been completed though I did not have a chance to attend nor waive its commencement; Judge did the same for my preliminary hearing set stating that saw no reason to hear the case again before the trial date. Judge denied me jury trial prior to the date Judge unlawfully ordered the revocation of my pro-per status. there infact was a motion for dismissal and a motion for preliminary hearing demand that Judge refuses to address. Judge denied to acknowledge state law and constitutional authority Judge occupied office in bad faith and bad behavior while also allowing the court staff to committ misconduct. Judge commissioned outrages and arbitrary government action and aided improper methods calculated to produce a wrongful conviction and displayed or exhibited inpropriety that has significantly effected proceedings.