

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-038

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

June 19, 2019

The Complainant alleged an appellate court judge violated due process and deprived him of a jury trial.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 19, 2019.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2019-038

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

See Attached

CONFIDENTIAL

Complaint against the

Name:

I, _____, am making this complaint on _____
 for **violating Due Process** as guaranteed by the United States Constitution of
 America. _____ **prevented/blocked case number** _____)
from Jury Trial by her rulings in the _____, case number _____

In **violating Due Process, preventing/blocking Jury Trial,**
colluded with _____ criminal activities as follows:

1. _____ determined that it was perfectly acceptable for _____
 _____, **an Officer of the Court, to fabricate a counterfeit Declaration**
Document, with a forged signature, and then proceeded to Plant this False
evidence, counterfeit Declaration Document, as **material** in a Motion for
 Summary Judgment submitted to Judge _____ in case number _____
2. _____ determined these **heinous criminal activities** were
 perfectly acceptable, while knowing that Judge _____ relied upon the
Planted False Evidence in his ruling.

Due Process is the legal requirement that the State must respect all legal rights that are
 owed to a person. **Due Process** balances the power of law of the land and protects the
 individual person from it. When a government harms a person without following the exact
 course of the law, as _____ has done, this constitutes a **Due Process**
 violation, which offends the rule of law.

_____ is unfit and unqualified to be a
 Her **clear violation of Due Process** and collusion with
 are an offense to the rule of law.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this ____th day of _____