

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-074

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 10, 2019

The Complainant alleged a municipal court judge corrupted his record and stole money from him.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 10, 2019.

CONFIDENTIAL COMPLAINT

TO: STATE OF ARIZONA

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

1501 WEST WASHINGTON STREET # 229

PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

Comp

2019-074

→ EMAIL ADDRESS: CJC@COURTS.AZ.GOV

1) NAME:

COMPLAINANT

2) MAIL ADDR:

3) PHONE:

4) JUDGE'S NAME:

()

5) COURT ()

6) DID YOU HAVE A CASE BEFORE THIS JUDGE: NO, BUT INDIRECTLY HE IS THE PRESIDING JUDGE OF () AND SO RESPONSIBLE.

6a) CASE NAME AND NUMBER

COURT () AND

ALSO IN MARICOPA COUNTY

AS APPEAL, APPELLATE

(CASES ARE NOT UNDER E-FILE SYSTEM)

6b) LIST ANY ATTORNEYS WHO APPEARED IN THE CASE & NONE

6c) LIST NAMES AND PHONE #'S OF ANY WITNESSES WHO OBSERVED THE JUDGE'S CONDUCT: NONE, OTHER THAN COURT CLERK WHO MADE THE FALSE RECORDS INPUT DID NOT APPEAR OF THEMSELVES, BUT RATHER BY ORDER AND DIRECTION. THOSE INPUT.

7) I UNDERSTAND THE COMMISSION CANNOT REVERSE COURT ORDERS OR ASSIGN A NEW JUDGE TO A CASE, YES, HOWEVER COMPLAINANT UNDERSTANDS THAT HIS TAKEN FROM HIM CAN BE RETURNED TO HIM. AND IN THE INTERESTS OF JUSTICE SHOULD BE RETURNED TO HIM!

8) PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT AND SIGN ON LINE BELOW: AND
2019-074

I, COMPLAINANT, AFFIRM UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY, THAT THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION AND THE ALLEGATIONS CONTAINED IN THE ATTACHED COMPLAINT ARE TRUE.

(COMPLAINANT)

DATE

THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENTS ARE SUPPORT EVIDENCE; THEREFORE

SEE PAGE # 3,
PAGE # 4,
PAGE # 5,
PAGE # 6,
PAGE # 7,
PAGE # 8,
PAGE # 9,
PAGE # 10, } ALL DATED

OF THIS 10 PAGE DOCUMENT,

TO: ADM LAW JUDGE

SIR: PETITIONER AT HEARINGS OF _____ HAS
 NO EXPLANATION AS TO WHY (ON THE PAPER YOU
 SHOWED ME, AND I ASKED FOR A COPY) THERE EXISTS
 ERRONEOUS INFORMATION. SPECIFICALLY, IN PART,
 LOWER PART OF PAGE, REFERENCE LINE CONTAINING
 BAC (BLOOD ALCOHOL CONTENT), AT A FINE OF \$_____
 IT IS SPURIOUS INFORMATION; A LIE BY _____ ?
 I HAVE ALLEGED MALFEASANCE, MISFEASANCE AND
 NON-FEASANCE THROUGHOUT MOST PREVIOUS PROCEEDINGS
 IN THESE MATTERS (PURSU). AND THAT ALLEGATION
 REMAINS AGAINST PRESIDING JUDGE
 COURT (_____); JUDGE

CORRUPTION OF MY RECORD IS PURPOSEFUL AND
 CRIMINAL. JUDGE _____ BY OPERATION OF THE
 COLOR OF HIS AUTHORITY, REPEAT COUR OF HIS AUTHORITY,
 HAS STOLEN _____ FROM ME. WHETHER THAT
 THEFT WAS BENEFICIAL TO HIM OR NOT, IT CERTAINLY
 IS THEFT FROM ME.

I AM FORWARDING, ENCLOSED HERE, WITH, ~~X~~ THE COPY
 SUBSTANTIATION CERTIFICATE, TAKEN COURSE, ON
 _____, CERTIFICATE # _____.

MY RECORD BEFORE CORRUPTION BY
 SHOWS ELIGIBILITY. AND THE CERTIFICATE SUBSTANTIATES
 OF THE CORRECT RECORD.
 TRAFFIC COMPLAINT SET ASIDE
 I REMAIN PHONELESS, BUT NOT CLUELESS.
 RESPECTFULLY,
 PAGE # 1 OF 1

STATE OF ARIZONA

IN THE MATTER OF .

DECISION AND ORDER

Petitioner

Administrative Law Judge

VOIDED BY

Appearances

Petitioner

Department Exhibits

1. Petitioner's Record
2. Traffic Survival School Order dated
3. Traffic Survival School Suspension Order
4. Traffic Survival School Order dated

Petitioner Exhibits

1. Petitioner's Supporting Documentation, including Petitioner Letter of
Petitioner Record, and Traffic Survival School Certificate

PAGE # 4 OF 10

Pursuant to the authority of Arizona Revised Statutes (A.R.S.) §§ 28-3306 and 28-645(A)(3)(a), a hearing convened in the above-captioned matter on _____ in the Executive Hearing Office of the _____ Arizona. The purpose of that hearing was to determine whether good cause exists to uphold, extend, rescind, or modify the Department's Order of Suspension dated _____ imposing an indefinite suspension on Petitioner's driver license. The hearing was completed and the matter was taken under advisement.

JURISDICTION

The Administrative Law Judge has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties of this hearing pursuant to the authority of A.R.S. § 28-3306. Petitioner's right to operate a motor vehicle on Arizona highways is subject to the statutory requirements of A.R.S. § 28-3306.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Petitioner's _____ record was retrieved electronically prior to hearing from the _____ and was admitted as evidence at hearing. (Department Exhibit 1) The record indicates that Petitioner was found in violation of A.R.S. § 28-645(A)(3)(a) on _____ occasions. *Id.* Petitioner was ordered to attend traffic survival school. (Department Exhibits 2 and 4) Petitioner _____ those _____ resulting in an indefinite _____ of his _____ until such time as _____ was provided to the Department of his successful completion of traffic survival school. (Department Exhibit 3)

Petitioner appeared in-person at the Executive Hearing Office. Petitioner acknowledged, on the record, his _____ violations. Petitioner testified and presented evidence that one of his _____ violations had been set aside by the court that had jurisdiction over the violation and that

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**