

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-076

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 10, 2019

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge should have disqualified himself in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 10, 2019.

Honorable Judge

Re: | State of Arizona vs

Your Honor,

I am writing today on my own in regard to my fiancé case which was before your bench over the period of through

Over the period leading to his sentencing I made inquiries as to the character and domineer of the Judge who would preside over the future of my fiancé and our lives together. The comments were from your staff and co-workers a resounding “

”, from people out of your social circles were “
” and my personal favorite “
”, isn't free speech
wonderful.

Now I would like to discuss your comments on made an official request to have his representation changed. was appointed representation by the County Public Defender's Office, however after viewing his representation on , as well as the communication efforts made between Mr. and while in custody. We felt a change of representation was desperately needed. I wrote a letter to Mr. leader, an to which was forwarded as well to your office. also made multiple Kite Communication efforts which never were received by the public defender's office due to negligence of the sheriff and County which has been addressed and acknowledged by them respectfully. The only problem now is your actions Sir, according to the .
, the Arizona Commission of Judicial Conduct office, as well as

the Arizona Appellate Courts office, Judges in Arizona are required to follow the constitution of Arizona and be impartial and unbiased until time of sentencing.

You Sir were anything but that on [redacted] your comments of “ [redacted] ” were neither unbiased or impartial, and per multiple attorneys, not legal, and your actions should have required you to recuse yourself from finishing the case. This should have been demanded by both the [redacted] County Public Defender and the [redacted] County Prosecution team member as they are representatives of the court and law of the state of Arizona.

Please see to it that your actions no longer represent your own motives and follow the Constitution of Arizona and are conducted in the best interest of the Citizens of [redacted] County, as currently Arizona is at a forefront of changes compared to the rest of the country, hopefully for the better. Your state is no longer being allowed to harbor the unethical behavior of the likes of Sherriff [redacted], or even the likes of Mr.

[redacted], who under pressure resigned from the [redacted] County Public Defenders Office. Arizona currently has the 4th highest rate of incarceration which in my opinion is based solely on Judges like yourself who have taken it upon themselves to follow the “ [redacted] ” mentality versus getting the citizens of Arizona the potential treatment and help that they desperately need.

Thank you for your time.

CC// Arizona Commission of Judicial Conduct
CC// Arizona State
CC// Arizona State