

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-094

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 17, 2019

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner improperly entered a default judgment. The Complainant also requested to appear before the Commission.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a). The Complainant's request to appear before the Commission is also denied.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 17, 2019.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2019-094

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ **Judge's Name:** _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

COPIES OF EACH AND EVERY JUDICIAL COMPLAINT (JC) WILL BE FORWARDED TO YOUR ARIZONA LAWMAKERS WHO HAS BEEN MONITORING THIS MATTER FOR YEARS NOW. See A.R.S 33-1807 (l) & (k) Also See A.R.S 33-1105, or 33-964(a) HOMESTEAD: All these Judge's want to Follow who violated a child from a Pedophile! (SIC) ANY and ALL Judges who wishes to be part of this crime shall also be sent into retirement. NO RESPECT.....

SHOULD BE REMOVED FROM THE BENCH BECASUE SHE IS UNSTABLE UNPROFESSIONAL AND RUDE. THIS FORMER PUBLIC DEFENDER COMPLETELY DISREGARDED DEFENDANT IS AN INNOCENT PERSON IN THIS LAW SUIT. HE IS AN ARMY VET AND SERVED YEARS SERVING TOURS OF DUTY IN IRAQ AND IN AFGHANISTAN. THERE IS NO MILITARY PERSON WHO IS APPROVING OF MS DEMENOR AND LACK OF UNDERSTANDING. BY GRANTING A DEFAULT IS HELPING THIS CRIME IN PROGRESS INSTEAD OF MITIGATING mS. IS ACUTALLY LITIGATING FOR A CROOKED LAWYER DOES NOT HAVE THE TALENT TO WORK AT A LAW FIRM OR RUN HER OWNLEGAL BUISNESS BECAUSE OF HER PERSONALITY AND LACK OF TALENT. THESE JUDGES ALL FORGET VERY QUICKLY THEYA RE TO BE IMPARTIAL AND DECIDE BASED UPON FACT. THE FACT IS SHOULD NOT HAVE ANY JUDGMENT AGAINST HIM FOR ANY REASON. IS A DISGRACE TO OUR MILITARY AND SHOUL FIND A NEW PROFESSION BECASUE NOBODY LIKES A JUDGE WHOP DISRESPECTS OUR UNITED STATES MILITARY. FURTHER FOR TO BE PART OF THIS CRIM IS APPALLING. INSTEAD OF FOLLOWNG ARIZONA LAW SHE WANTS TO JUMP IN AND VILLINIZE WELL THAT IS NOT A REASON TO RULE AGASINT JUST BECASUE YOU DON'T LIKE THA DEMONSTRATE IS NOT INDEPENDANT AND DOES NOT HAVE A STABLE MIND TO SERVE THE PEOPLE.

INCIDENT; MS HAD ME TO HER COURTROOM. I WANT THIS COMMISION TO HAVE HER EXPLAIN WHY SHE WOULD TAKE RESOURCES AWAY FROM HIS NORMAL DUTIES JUST TO BABYSIT ME. HE FELT REALLY STUPID AND HAD NO EXPLANATION AS TO WHY THE COURT WOULD DO SOMETHING SO WIERD. IS MS SUGGESTING IS A PHYSICAL THREAT IN THE COURTROOM??? SHE IS EITHER STUPID OS MISGUIDED TO SLANDER MY GOOD NAME. THIS JOB MEANS ALOT TO BUT SHE NEEDS COUNSELING ON ETHICS, PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT, AND KNOWLEDGE OF Arizona LAW!

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY



COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ **Judge's Name:** _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

ANY and ALL Judges who wishes to be part of this crime shall also be sent into retirement.. Who in the right mind would continue to support _____ or _____ and anybody who does shall follow this sick man into retirement. . This is beyond BIAS. _____ COURT VACATED AND REMAND
LAWMAKERS ARE MONITORING THESE CASES BECAUSE OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT they see in
AZB# _____ AZ Bai _____ refuses to prosecute. Therefore this Commission should want to regain credibility Trust of the people of our Arizona Judicial system and I will help all I can. Maybe all culprits should retake the Bar Exam??

COURTHOUSE CREDIBILITY is SHATTERED
Cases came through this courthouse NONE going to discovery because these Judges ALL of them know I will win if they consider the facts.. This is a Crime in Progress. Mv Neighbors here since _____ know me and realize that these Judge's are acting up and SICKENED by _____ actions (SICK) Anyone who wishes to associate themselves with _____ and _____ should not only be REMOVED from practicing LAW in ARIZONA but you SHOULD ALSO PACK YOUR BAGS AND MOVE AWAY BECAUSE ARIZONA DOES NOT NEED ANY MORE PEDOPHILES! or Gross Judges...

You ALL Are dragging your Name in the Mud.. I don't care if you do not like me you have a job and DUTY to provide rulings that are not corrupt. The Courts reputation must get better and this Complaint requires real action. Why is it so hard to get an Honest Judge in _____ County _____ ???????
ARIZONA LAWMAKERS ARE WATCHING this Corrupt behavior. As a result A.R.S 33-1807 (l) and (k) was passed now we need good honest Judges who will follow Arizona Law now Presiding Judge _____ who is grossly lacking the temperament to be a Judge. _____ wanted her to be a judge more details her (JC)

This Complaint requires serious attention and I look forward to being a part of the reforming that is required of these complainant's. Further _____ is requesting a day at the Capitol for the Judges to be required to meet with our Lawmakers and the lawyers who drafted the laws these laws so they have a better understanding of what the PEOPLE expect from them while havening the privilege or working on the Bench for the people of _____ County.

Sincere Regards I am awaiting your response for a hearing date to discuss all these for corrective measures!

EXHIBITS 1-3

1. Retired Judge remanded by the
Court violating a child
2. form dated
3. email claiming it was dated
is the former judicial
assistant to and current JA for

IN THE
COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

Petitioners,

v.

THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF THE OF THE
STATE OF ARIZONA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
Respondent Judge,

; STATE OF ARIZONA,
Real Parties in Interest.

No.
Filed

from the Court in County
The Honorable Judge
No.

VACATED AND REMANDED

VACATED

COUNSEL:

(argued), for Arizona

County Attorney (argued), County Attorney,
for State of Arizona

(argued), The
PLLC, for

Law Office of
Victim Law Institute

for

Opinion of the Court

authored the opinion of the Court, in which
, and
joined.

opinion of the Court

¶1 In a criminal case, a parent who exercises victims' rights on behalf of a minor child is statutorily entitled to refuse a defense interview. We hold that the parent's right to refuse an interview does not expire when the victim turns eighteen, but instead continues until the case ends.

I.

¶2 Crime victims cannot "

" A.R.S. § 13-4433(A). A parent or legal guardian may " on behalf of a minor victim. *Id.* § 13-4403(C). Parents or guardians who do so may themselves refuse to submit to defense interviews. *Id.* § 13-4433(G).

¶3 In , petitioner ("Daughter"), then years old, accused her step-father, real party in interest of sexual abuse. *J.D. v. Hegyi*, 234 Ariz. 210, 211 ¶ 2, 320 P.3d 826, 827 (App. 2014). Because Daughter was then a minor, her mother, ("Mother"), asserted victims' rights on her behalf. *Id.* After the court granted several continuances of the trial, Daughter turned *Id.* then filed a motion to compel Mother to submit to a defense interview, which the court granted. *Id.* Mother and Daughter sought special action relief in the *Id.* The court accepted jurisdiction and held that, while a victim's majority extinguishes the parent's right to refuse a defense interview, the parent "cannot be compelled to reveal any information received while the victim was still a minor." *Id.* at 211 ¶ 1, 320 P.3d at 827.

¶4 We granted review to address an important issue on which courts have reached differing conclusions. Compare *id.* at 212 ¶10, 320 P.3d at 828 (holding that the right to decline an interview terminates at the victim's majority), with *State v. Lucas*, 234 Ariz. 263, 267 ¶ 15, 321 P.3d 428, 432 (App. 2014) (holding that the right to refuse an interview remains

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**