

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-112

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 31, 2019

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner was biased against him, denied him a fair trial, and concealed court misconduct.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Diane M. Johnsen and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 31, 2019.

CONFIDENTIAL
STATE OF ARIZONA
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT
1501 W. WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE 229
PHOENIX AZ 85007

Comp
2019-112

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

JUDGE'S NAME:

DATE

IN MY CASE ALLOWED THE STATE
TO HIDE THE TRUTH FROM THE JURY. WHEN THE
JURY WANTED TO KNOW IF OFFICER

" " " " " "
OFFICER ANSWER WAS "
" " " " " "
" JUDGE

AND OFFICER

ALL KNEW THAT THAT PART OF THE VIDEO
FOOTAGE OF HIM SEARCHING THE GIRLFRIENDS
PURSE WOULD BE WITHHELD FROM THE
JURY. IN THE TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS WHEN
THE SEARCH QUESTION AROSE,
WAS GOING TO BRING UP THE
SEARCH OF THE PURSE ~~AND~~ BUT WAS STOPPED
BY BEFORE IT WENT ON
RECORD. IT IS CLEAR ON THE TRANSCRIPT.
THEREFORE ALLOWING POLICE OFFICER
TO COMMIT PERJURY UNDER OATH, ON THE
STAND IN ORDER TO SECURELY
PROSECUTE ME AND CONVINCE THE JURY TO
BRING A GUILTY VERDICT. SHE HELPED THE
STATE HIDE THE OFFICERS MISCONDUCT.

IF I WAS GUILTY THEN WHY DID THEY TRY SO HARD TO HIDE THE VIDEOS. THEY HID THE VIDEOS TO COVER UP THEIR LIES AND CRIMES. HELPED THEM COVER UP

IT IS VERY APPARENT THAT OFFICER WAS REASSURED THE VIDEO OF HIM SEARCHING THE GIRLFRIEND WOULD NOT BE PLAYED OR SHOWN TO THE JURY AFTER HE DENIED SEARCHING THE GIRLFRIEND. AND OFFICER

WERE BOTH ABSENT FROM THE COURTROOM AT THE SAME TIME. I BELIEVE THAT IS WHEN OFFICER WAS ASSURED HE COULD DENY THE SEARCH AND NOT BE IMPACHED.

OFFICER AND "KNEW ABOUT THE VIDEO OF THE SEARCH (OFFICER PD BODY CAM). BODY

CAMERA ACTIVATION & DA RECORD: WILL REFLECT. THERE WAS MORE THAN OFFICERS PRESENT AT THE INCIDENT, ONLY OFFICERS AND CHOSE TO TESTIFY. SENTENCING

MEMORANDUM SHOWS HE KNEW ABOUT THE SEARCH AND MY PRIOR DEFENSE ATTORNEY WATCHED THE VIDEO TOGETHER AT A LEGAL VISIT AT THE JAIL. SHE WILL CONFIRM THE VIDEO. JUDGE ALLOWED THIS IN HER COURTROOM.

THE NEXT THING THAT
ALLOWED IN HER COURTROOM IS WHEN I
WAS ON THE WITNESS STAND OFFICER
WAS SITTING IN A
CHAIR NEXT TO THE TALKING TO
AND LAUGHING WITH A WOMAN
JUROR. THEY WERE LOOKING AT ME AND
LAUGHING AND NO ONE SAID ANYTHING
TO THEM. I THOUGHT WOULD
SAY SOMETHING BUT SHE SEEMED UNCONCERNED.
WATCH THE VIDEO FOOTAGE AND YOU WILL
SEE. WHEN I SEEN THEM TALKING AND
LAUGHING I LOST ALL HOPE IN MY TRIAL.
AFTER FINDING OUT
DIDNT OBJECT TO ANYTHING, I KNEW I
WAS GOING TO LOSE MY TRIAL, MY TRIAL
WAS RIGGED AND JUDGE
KNOWINGLY ALLOWED THE STATE PROSECUTORS
PROTECT OFFICER
MISCONDUCT AND REFUSED
TO COUNTER THE PROSECUTION, HELPED
THE STATE PROTECT [#] PD

THIS IS HOW I KNOW THAT
KNOWINGLY OBSTRUCTED JUSTICE AND
CONTINUES TO OBSTRUCT JUSTICE IS WHEN
I TOLD (MY DEFENSE
ATTORNEY) TO DO MY DIRECT APPEAL,

HE SAID, " " SO I TURNED IN MY OWN
APPEAL TO INMATE AND
THAT APPEAL WAS LOST. I WROTE THE COURTS
AND THEY SAID I NEVER TURNED IN AN APPEAL.
I WROTE TO AND SHE DISMISSED
MY REQUEST SAYING

AND THAT I PLEADED GUILTY AND SO I
GAVE UP MY NON-JURISDICTIONAL DEFECTS. ON THE
SAME DOCUMENT DOCKET CODE Pg. IT SAYS
A JURY FOUND DEFENDANT GUILTY. THIS SHOWS
THAT IS TRYING TO BLOCK ANY
RELIEF I SEEK BECAUSE IF I GET BACK INTO
COURT I WILL MAKE THE COURT SHOW ALL OF THE
BODY CAMERA EVIDENCE IN ITS ENTIRETY AND IT
WILL SHOW HOW HELPED THE STATE
COVER UP OFFICER MISCONDUCT AND THAT
I AM ACTUALLY INNOCENT. MY APPEAL LAWYER
SAYS THAT THE PROSECUTION
SHOWED THE JURY ALL THE BODY CAM FOOTAGE
IN ITS ENTIRETY. HE ALSO BLOCKED MY RELIEF
EFFORT. SHOWED BIAS AND
IMPARTIALITY IN MY CASE. SHE WILL KEEP BLOCKING
ANY RELIEF I FILE FOR IN ORDER TO ~~SHOW~~ HIDE
THE COURTS MISCONDUCT. I WAS SENTENCED TO
YEARS FOR A " " OF DANGEROUS
DRUGS. THE STATE CITED 141 ARIZONA 492
STATE V HARDING. IT WAS A DEATH

PENALTY CASE. THE STATE SAYS "

MY TRIAL WAS CALLED "
YEARS FOR A "

CHARGE WITH HISTORICAL PRIORS IN A
NON CAPITAL CASE. I WAS AT MY JOB
WORKING AND HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH
THE 911 CALL. I WASNT THE SUSPECT
THEY WERE LOOKING FOR. BUT BECAUSE
OF MY TATTOOS AND THE WAY I LOOK,
IT'S EASY TO PIN STUFF TO ME AND BECAUSE
OF MY PRIORS, IT'S EASY TO MAKE THEM
STICK. THE OFFICERS BODY CAM SHOWS
THE TRUTH. THE STATE CONVERTED THE
TRUTH TO A FALSITY. I WAS UNFAIRLY
TARGETED, UNLAWFULLY ACCUSED AND
ILLEGALLY INCARCERATED. JUDGE

IS ATTEMPTING TO DISMISS THE
TRUTH ALLOWING THE STATE AND DEFENSE
TO HIDE THE FACTS."

DENYING ME DUE PROCESS OF LAW A
BRADY VIOLATION (1) EVIDENCE IS FAVORABLE TO
THE ACCUSED BECAUSE IT IS EXCULPATORY OR
IMPEACHING; (2) EVIDENCE WAS SUPPRESSED BY THE
STATE, EITHER WILLFULLY OR INADVERTANTLY; AND
(3) PREJUDICE ENSUED.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**