

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 19-116

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

July 31, 2019

An Anonymous Complainant alleged a justice of the peace was practicing law.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Diane M. Johnsen and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 31, 2019.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Notice is given that \_\_\_\_\_ a justice of the peace in \_\_\_\_\_ county has violated several of the rules of conduct.

For several years she ignored that certain ethical opinion teaching judges what to do with their law practice once they are judges. She left hers open for years under her \_\_\_\_\_ maiden name.

\_\_\_\_\_ has brought disrepute to your branch by either showing woeful incompetence by not reading in all her years that she kept her practice open or a clear above the law attitude. If you find she kept her practice under a different name just to obfuscate then she certainly acted with intent to undermine the judiciary. Each year she renewed her law practice is a problem because she knew or should have known that it was not reasonable to keep a practice open for such a long time without creating an appearance problem, an incompetency issue or all of this.