

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-126

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 31, 2019

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to rule on his post-conviction matters.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Diane M. Johnsen and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 31, 2019.

Judicial Bar

I'm writing to report a claim of Judicial Misconduct by Judge _____ for not responding to a _____ and about notification on multiple Documents related to Targeting, retaliation, harassment and psychological punishment Orchestrated by _____ and its officials and officers on _____ Complex and _____ Complex.

I have filed a lawsuit _____ Court _____ and _____ and now are waiting for an answer from the United States _____

In 18 USC § 2511(2)(c)-(d). This applies both to persons acting under color of law and to private individuals not acting under color of law, provided that they do not intercept with the purpose of committing any criminal act or tortious act.

_____ and _____ are engaged in the use of an electronic component attached to their surveillance system that both listens, records and talk, two way communications as normal business. But they are also engaged in tortious acts and criminal acts with the system because of organizational demands more interested in cost containment and liability for the following reasons:

(1) By using this device the officers on duty in the Dorms do not have to personally participate in the criminal acts or tortious act so they can't be subject to a lawsuit.

(2) By using this device they are engaged in Targeting,

retaliation and psychological punishment amounting to torture to control and manipulate an inmate particularly an inmate filing a lawsuit. They target the inmate making a public spectacle of the persons privacy, dignity and security.

The first and fourth amendment both protects and guarantees that a prison official or subordinates from targeting, retaliating, harassing and psychological punishment for an act not legitimate, especially for filing a lawsuit. These are in these acts to prevent or deter me from filing a lawsuit as depicted, supra.

City of Ontario v Quann, 130 S.Ct 2619, 2627 (2010)

I am demanding that set forth for review the federal or state law enforcement officers or attorneys authorization to conduct electronic surveillance that specifically and articulates the use authorized for criminal and tortious acts against inmates. See 18 USC § 2510(7); see also *US v Lewis*, 406 F.3d 11, 16 (1st Cir 2005) (correctional officers are investigative or law enforcement officers); see also *US v Amen*, 831 F.2d 373, 378 (2nd Cir 1987) (applying Title III to prison monitoring); see also *US v Sababu*, 891 F.2d 1308, 1328-29 (7th Cir 1989) (prison officials are investigative or law enforcement officers within meaning of § 2510(7);

18 USC § 2518 (provisions governing contents of application, order or issuance by the Court)

Under 18 USC § 2518, because Judge _____ is not responding to the _____ or multiple documents for filing a criminal complaint or investigation a reasonable prudent person may suspect that either Judge _____ is aware of what is occurring, possibly ordering the surveillance or purposely disregarding it knowing it is occurring and then failing intentionally not to respond.

There are a total of _____ witnesses on _____ complex and _____ witnesses on _____ complex that signed a declaration affidavit Petition willing to _____ testify by oral, written, or in-camera interviews to perpetrate their own testimony in case of a future court date to prevent a failure or delay of Justice.

The prison and the courts are committing fraud or fraudulent schemes by not purposely interviewing the witnesses and are not providing any good faith reason for not interviewing the witnesses and lack of effort to obtain interviews, written statements or in-camera interviews in order to stall their testimony from being heard.

The criminal acts are:

1. Conspiracy to engage in Targeting, retaliation, harassment and punishment.
2. Assault with a surveillance device and cooperating inmates.
3. Reckless Endangerment intentional placing the targeted person into danger of verbal or physical abuse by inmates or device.
4. Psychological punishment and pain
5. Counts _____ amount to torture. See

Rules and Regulations for use of Radio or audio waves for harassment or torture, punishable by imprisonment.

I reported to the court and and Judge
the physical and psychological damages that either I
or other inmates experienced because of the use of this device.
They are broadcasting soundtracks in backdrop that appear to
be coming from the mechanical rooms or perhaps hidden speakers.
The soundtracks are psychotic, subliminal and infrasounds in
the domes during lockdown or not.

This may seem wholly incredible or fantastic, fanciful or
delusional as the Courts seem to express their opinion. However
a total of witness willing to testify in court and several
officers that admitted to [me] hearing the soundtracks, but claim
they don't know exactly who their organization is doing it,
this is not-FRIVOLIDUS- they are actually engaged in
this conduct. You can clearly and obviously hear it and sometimes
they get atrocious with it.

This is the first time in all the years I have been
encarcerated and never heard anything like this before.
It needs to come to an end-BEFORE- Some body gets seriously
injured, permanently damaged or gets a life sentence because
of it.

Sincerely and Respectfully