

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 19-191

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

September 25, 2019

The Complainant alleged a pro tem justice of the peace improperly disclosed her address.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member George H. Foster, Jr. did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 25, 2019.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

19-191

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

**Name**

**Judge's Name:**

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

On \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_ The order of Protection  
for Case # \_\_\_\_\_ was being disputed by  
the defendant. The original order had my  
Current Residence and \_\_\_\_\_ of the \_\_\_\_\_ places of employment  
addresses protected. The Current Residence was  
not the home the defendant and I shared. The  
defendant did not know my location and for my  
Safety I requested it to be protected. However  
at the start of proceedings the judge asked if  
" since I  
did not want to perjure myself I said yes. But  
now the defendant knows where I am, the  
Judge released protected information to the defendant.  
He upheld the order and the protected address.