

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-193

Judges:

Complainant:

ORDER

September 25, 2019

The Complainant alleged two superior court judges were covering up misconduct by another judge.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member George H. Foster, Jr. did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 25, 2019.

Comp
2019-193

Commission of Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Ste. 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Re: County Court Presiding Judge
; Judge and Comm.

I provided court transcripts and documentation that showed Comm. admitted perjury with false and inconsistent statements, Class Four felonies pursuant to ARS § 13-2702 (A)(1 and 2), § 13-2702 (B) and § 13-2705; conspired with prosecutors and defense attorney to deny constitutional rights to due process and obstruct justice (Case no:) which this Commission determined as, "

It is my belief that Comm. is receiving kickbacks from the private prison industry and is being afforded " " by Judge and Presiding Judge

Please see attached:

- A. Request for Evidentiary Hearing
- B. Order for Request for Evidentiary Hearing
- C. Notice of Class Four Felonies
- D. Second Notice of Class Four Felonies and Request for Ruling w/ Witness List

- E. Assignment of Comm. For Rule 32 Proceedings
F. Response to Assignment
G. Ruling on Response

As per Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution, the Commission was created:

"...to investigate complaints... and to prosecute,
...charges of judicial misconduct".

There is no doubt that Comm. _____ lied to me and the transcripts prove it. And when I attempted to obtain by § 39-121 Public Records request how could an independent agency created by the Arizona Constitution could reach such a preposterous determination, _____ denied that lawful request and in doing so, has allowed judicial misconduct to spread throughout the courts like a malicious cancer destroying lives and ripping apart families of Arizona taxpayers.

I encourage every member of this Commission to review an investigative report, " _____ ",
by _____ of _____
that uncovered misconduct and abuses in _____
intentionally excluding police officers from the _____
and then ' _____ ' the list to

coerce defendants into illegal plea agreements. In
Mr. _____ story, he identifies _____ P.D. Officer
_____ and former _____ County Atty
_____ and now _____ Court Judge who refused comment.
Comm. _____ is also a former _____ prosecutor found
to have committed misconduct and sued for that
in Civil court.

Please be aware it was me that got Mr.
investigating this issue and he is actively
pursuing additional misconduct while referring
me to _____ in _____

Since it is obvious that this Commission and its
members lack the judicial integrity or credibility
as an independent agency, I am not holding
my breath for any righteous decisions, so
merely serving notice.

Sincerely,

In the Court of Arizona
In and for the County of

State of Arizona

✓

petitioner

Case no.:

Request for Evidentiary
Hearing

Now comes the petitioner, in pro
per to request this court to grant the
petitioner an evidentiary hearing as per
Rule 32.8 of the Arizona Rules of Criminal
Procedure at the discretion of the court.

Petitioner filed a Petition for Post-conviction
Relief on

Submitted this day of

Original - Clerk of the Court

Copy - Hon.

Copy mailed to:

COURT OF ARIZONA
COUNTY

CLERK OF THE COURT

HONORABLE

STATE OF ARIZONA

v.

COURT ADMIN-
JUDGE

**PETITION RECEIVED
DUE DATE FOR RESPONSE**

Effective the Court amended Arizona Rule of
Criminal Procedure 32.

_____ The Court ordered Defendant to prepare and file the Petition for Post-Conviction Relief.
_____ The Court has received notification from the Rule 32 Management Unit that the Petition for
_____ Post-Conviction Relief was filed on _____ with the Clerk of the Court. In addition,
_____ the Court has received a “ _____ ” filed on _____ Good
cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED the State’s Response to the Petition and Request for Evidentiary
Hearing must be filed no later than _____ The Response must not exceed _____ pages.
Ariz. R. Crim. P. 32.5(b).

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**