

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 19-201

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

September 25, 2019

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge showed bias, allowed the unauthorized practice of law, and made improper rulings in a civil matter.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members George H. Foster, Jr. and Diane M. Johnsen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 25, 2019.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2019-201

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

**Name:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Judge's Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

(Watchdog groups and media groups) are paying very close attention to this case.

A. Most lawsuits involve a checkerboard of legal and factual issues that must be resolved, and this is what makes them so lengthy. The law may be clear, but unless and until the facts are established, it is impossible to say what law applies. Summary judgment is a legal shortcut to get from pleadings to judgment when both parties agree on most or all of the significant facts in the case, and the judge only needs to apply the law to the facts and see who prevails. Summary judgment is the same as a judgment after trial: it is a final judgment that ends the case, and parties can either accept it or appeal it. This judge did not follow the laws of the court and has caused us great harm and high cost.

B. Then this judge allowed a motion and told the attorneys to treat it like a motion for reconsideration after she ruled on summary judgement. This is not what the rules of the court states. We believe this judge made up this unauthorized rule in effort to rescind her previous ruling to avoid allowing the other party to go to the appeals court in the proper manner.

C. It appears that she knowingly allowed unauthorized practice of law and schemes from attorney's, she seems to be engaged in harming the side that she previously rule in favor of because we are speaking out. We have filed complaints to the Courts, and in the process of filing to the Judge Judicial Complaint Office. This judge has placed her-self above policy and procedure of the court and should be held accountable for it. She is making biased statements in her rulings because we called her out on it. We have started a petition gathering signatures asking for this judge to be removed from the bench.

D. Our non-profit organization hired attorney's to settle a property dispute of a This then frauded the church any refused to pay back the funds in question. Refused to leave the property o we had to sue her in court. The former church mother hired a Legal Document Preparer who was allowed to make legal arguments, personal opinions, false social media acquasations, delay the court procedures citing false claims, that her client did dot have an attorney when infact the worked for Attorney who later came in as a limited attorney and later becme her full scope after we filed and complaint. There are emails and rulings that prove our case. Even with all of this inappropriate conduct under Judge and a hearing we won the summary judgement. How ever this Attorney was allowed to file a motion screaming, crying and ojecting threatening the judge she accepted his motion without following the law on appeals. We then had to fire our Attorney for inapropriate conduct and not following proper court procedures. It now appears this judge is unhappy because we ar bringing these issues to light. We believe she rescinded the summary judgement and seems to be on her way to harm us using the law because of her own errors. All attorney's seem to be afraid to complain about the law. It is clear that this case is out of controll. If you read her latet rulings her words are totally biased towards us. The judge has had this case since she had plenty of time to read this case and make a decision. She granted summary judgement in our favor if the other ide wanted to appeal it she should have allowed them to follow juducial procedure. Please see filings and rulings we believe they are self explanatory. We always wanted a trial in this case. We was force to go through summary judgement and now this case is in turmoil. Please notify us if you want copies from the complaints of and the Court. All we are asking for is justice.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

One final addition there is more evidence to this case that favor our side that will be brought out over the next few weeks. When Judge \_\_\_\_\_ allowed a Legal Document Preparer and Attorney \_\_\_\_\_ to not represent to the court in appropriate fashion and then later filed a motion accusing the court of foul play. These are the things that happen when a judge does not have complete control of her court. For the record there is an adult protection letter and a letter from a justice court judge available per your request.

COURT OF ARIZONA  
COUNTY

CLERK OF THE COURT

HON.

v.

JUDGE

Unofficial Document

MINUTE ENTRY

The Court has considered Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment filed on Defendants' Response, and Plaintiff's Reply. The Court has also considered the oral arguments of the parties.

In its Reply, Plaintiff argues that the Court should disregard Defendants' Controverting Statement of Facts and attachments because it was not filed timely. The Court declines Plaintiff's invitation to do so. The Court finds good cause for Defendants' late filing.

Plaintiff also argues that [redacted] cannot represent Defendant [redacted] because she is not a lawyer. Thus, [redacted] Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment should be stricken. [redacted] is a minor and [redacted] is his mother. The Court agrees with Plaintiff that a non-lawyer parent must be represented by an attorney to maintain or defend a lawsuit on behalf of her child. See *Byers-Watts v. Parker*, 199 Ariz. 466, ¶ 17, 18 P.3d 1265, 1269 (App. 2001).

**IT IS ORDERED** striking Defendant [redacted] Response.  
Docket [redacted]

COURT OF ARIZONA  
COUNTY

CV

This case is about a piece of Real Property and a Manufactured Home located at  
County, Arizona.

As to the Real Property, Defendant and her husband, jointly  
owned the Real Property until died on . Thereafter,  
( ) solely owned the Real Property. On executed a  
Quitclaim Deed which deeded the Real Property to Plaintiff. Plaintiff recorded the deed.  
However, on executed and recorded another deed involving the same  
Real Property which allegedly conveyed title to the Real Property to and Defendant

As a condition of conveying the Real Property to Plaintiff, Plaintiff had to pay in full all  
of the unpaid property taxes to date, which Plaintiff did pay. Plaintiff has also continued to pay  
the property taxes.

As to the Manufactured Home, on filed two valid Title and  
Registration Applications to the Manufactured Home to herself and  
as co-owners of the Manufactured Home. In  
and transferred title of the Manufactured Home to Plaintiff.

Plaintiff argues that the documentation supports that the Real Property and the  
Manufactured Home belong to Plaintiff. Plaintiff further argues that the Defendants recorded a  
false deed on the Real Property on

argues that the Motion for Summary Judgment should be denied because there  
is a genuine issue of material fact as to whether , the pastor of Plaintiff  
defrauded into conveying the Real Property and the  
Manufactured Home.

The problem with argument is that it is unsupported by admissible evidence.  
Her affidavit is unsigned. She submitted a host of inadmissible evidence. The admissible  
evidence submitted in the case support Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment.

THE COURT FINDS that there are no genuine issues of material fact, and that Plaintiff is  
entitled to summary judgment as a matter of law.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Defendants  
violated A.R.S. § 33-420 by causing a deed to be recorded granting them ownership interest of  
the Real Property, knowing or having reason to know that the document is groundless and

COURT OF ARIZONA  
COUNTY

invalid. The Court further finds that the Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for \_\_\_\_\_ and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under the statute.

**IT IS ORDERED** granting Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment filed on \_\_\_\_\_

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** quieting title to the Real Property and Manufactured Home located at \_\_\_\_\_ County, Arizona ( \_\_\_\_\_ County Assessor's Number \_\_\_\_\_ ), to Plaintiff.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the recording of the Real Property dated \_\_\_\_\_ in which \_\_\_\_\_ conveyed title to the Real Property to \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ is null and void.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff may, within \_\_\_\_\_ calendar days of the entry of this order, submit an application for an award of attorney's fees and a statement of costs. If either an application or statement is submitted that Defendants wish to oppose, a response must be filed not later than \_\_\_\_\_ calendar days after <sup>Unofficial Document</sup> service of the application or statement. No reply may be filed unless requested by the court.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that not later than \_\_\_\_\_ days after the entry of this order, Plaintiff must also submit a proposed form of judgment, leaving blank spaces for attorney's fees and taxable costs. That form of judgment may incorporate by reference what is said here but otherwise should be confined to the amounts being awarded along with Rule 54(c) language.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**