

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 19-207

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

October 2, 2019

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him, did not afford him a right to be heard, and allowed his attorneys to violate his constitutional rights in a criminal proceeding.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 2, 2019.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2019-207

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name \_\_\_\_\_

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

THESE JUDGES HAVE NOT ONLY VIOLATED ARIZONA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT Rule 81 AND CANON THEY HAVE VIOLATED THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. Rule 81 1.1 THESE JUDGE SHALL COMPLY WITH THE LAW, RULE 2.2 THESE JUDGE SHALL UPHOLD AND APPLY THE LAW FAIRLY AND IMPARTIALLY. RULE 2.3 (A) (4) A JUDGE SHALL PERFORM HIS DUTIES WITHOUT BIAS OR PREJUDICE. A JUDGE SHALL REQUIRE LAWYERS TO REFRAIN FROM MANIFESTING BIAS OR PREJUDICE & DO NOT PRECLUDE JUDGES FROM MAKING LEGITIMATE REFERENCE WHEN THEY ARE RELEVANT TO THE MATTERS AT HAND RULE 2.4 (A) A LAWYER SHALL NOT BE SWAYED BY PARTISAN INTEREST PUBLIC CLAMOR OR FEAR OF CRITICISM, RULE 2.5 (A) A JUDGE SHALL PERFORM HIS DUTIES COMPETENTLY DILIGENTLY AND PROMPTLY. RULE 2.6 (A) A JUDGE SHALL ACCORD TO EVERY PERSON WHO HAS A LEGAL INTEREST IN A PROCEEDING THE RIGHT TO BE HEARD. THEY ALSO VIOLATED JUDICIAL ETHICS PREAMBLE TERMINOLOGY CANON AND CANON 1-5. JUDGE AND KNOW THAT ALL DEFENDANTS ARE TO HAVE COMPETENT EFFECTIVE COUNSEL ATTORNEY AT ALL CRITICAL STAGES PER UNITED STATES 6<sup>th</sup> AMEND, WHICH I WAS DENIED AT GRAND JURY INITIAL APPEARANCE PRELIMINARY AND APPELLATE MEET. THEY KNOW I NEVER WAIVED MY RIGHTS TO NONE OF THESE ISSUES PER ARIZ. R. CRIM D 5.1 (b) & 12.6. ALSO THESE JUDGES KNOWS THAT ALL INDICEMENTS ARE FALSE WHEN IT BUT ONLY BE PEOPLE & YOU NEED QUORUM TO MAKE IT LEGIT. BOTH OF MY LAWYERS STOOD LIKE A POTTER PLANT AND THE JUDGES KNEW THEY WASNT PERFORMING LIKE THEY SHOULD HAVE THEY WAS JUST LOOKING TO GET PAID & SEND ME TO PRISON. THESE LAWYER NEVER EVEN INVESTIGATE & BOTH JUDGES SHOULD HAVE KNOWN THEIR WAS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST WITH MYSELF & THOSE ATTORNEYS. THE CASE WAS ADJUDICATED BEFORE I GOT OUT OF PRISON TO HIDE HOW HISSELF AND THE STATE AND VIOLATED THE 5<sup>th</sup> 6<sup>th</sup> 9<sup>th</sup> AND 14<sup>th</sup> AMEND. OF THE UNITED STATES. JUDGE AND HAVE A RIGHT TO SELF REPRESENTATION UNDER THE 6<sup>th</sup> AMEND SINCE I WAS DENIED A COMPETENT ATTORNEY UNDER US CONST. 6<sup>th</sup> AMEND THAT VIOLATED CONFLICT OF INTEREST BETWEEN MYSELF & WHICH LEAD TO HER ABANDONING MY CASE WHICH FORCED ME TO FIGHT FOR MY FREEDOM ON MY OWN EVER MOTION I FILED JUDGE NEVER RESPONDED ON HIM AND JUST DENIED THEM WHICH ALL OF THEM DENIED ME DUE PROCESS OF THE LAW WHEN THEY DENY ME FROM PROTECTION TO ALL EVIDENCE THAT ALL GOVERNMENT AGENETS HAVE, SINCE I AM AND THE ALLEGED VICTIMS ARE AND JUDGE IS DENYING ALL MY MOTION SO I CAN'T BE LET FREE. THE ACTS BY THEM BOTH IS BIAS FOR THE UNLAWFUL THINGS THEY ARE DOING. I HAVE A RIGHT TO EVERYTHING I FILED AND MY FREEDOM. THESE CASES ARE PRODUCTS OF SELECTIVE AND VINDICTIVENESS PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT WITHIN THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM AND FOR THESE JUDGES TO ALLOW ILLEGAL AND UNLAWFUL ACTS DONE BY GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS ARE UNFAIR & BIAS.