

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-208

Judges:

Complainant:

ORDER

October 2, 2019

The Complainant alleged two superior court judges were biased against him, did not afford him the right to be heard, and allowed his attorneys to violate his constitutional rights in a criminal proceeding.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 2, 2019.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2019-208

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

THESE JUDGES HAVE NOT ONLY VIOLATED ARIZONA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 81 AND CANON THEY HAVE VIOLATED THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. RULE 81 1.1 THESE JUDGE SHALL COMPLY WITH THE LAW, RULE 2.2 THESE JUDGES SHALL UPHOLD AND APPLY THE LAW FAIRLY AND IMPARTIALLY. RULE 2.3 (A) (C) (D) A JUDGE SHALL PERFORM HIS DUTIES WITHOUT BIAS OR PREJUDICE. A JUDGE SHALL REQUIRE LAWYERS TO REFRAIN FROM MANIFESTING BIAS OR PREJUDICE & DO NOT PRECLUDE JUDGES FROM MAKING LEGITIMATE REFERENCE WHEN THEY ARE RELEVANT TO THE MATTERS AT HAND. RULE 2.4 (A) A LAWYER SHALL NOT BE SWAYED BY PARTISAN INTEREST, PUBLIC CLAMOR OR FEAR OF CRITICISM. RULE 2.5 (A) A JUDGE SHALL PERFORM HIS DUTIES COMPETENTLY DILIGENTLY AND PROMPTLY. RULE 2.6 (A) A JUDGE SHALL ACCORD TO EVERY PERSON WHO HAS A LEGAL INTEREST IN A PROCEEDING THE WRITE TO BE HEARD. THEY ALSO VIOLATED JUDICIAL ETHICS PREAMBLE TERMINOLOGY CANON AND CANON 1-5. JUDGE AND KNOW THAT ALL DEFENDANTS ARE TO HAVE COMPETENT EFFECTIVE COUNSEL ATTORNEY AT ALL CRITICAL STAGES PER UNITED STATES 6th AMEND, WHICH I WAS DENIED AT GRAND JURY, INITIAL APPEARANCE, PRELIMINARY AND APPELLATE HEARINGS. THEY KNOW I NEVER WAIVED MY RIGHTS TO NONE OF THESE ISSUES PER ARIZ. R. LEIM P. 5.1 (C) & 12.6. ALSO THESE JUDGES KNOWS THAT ALL INDICMENTS ARE FALSE WHEN IT SET A DORUM TO MAKE IT LEGIT. BOTH OF MY LAWYERS STOOD LIKE A POTTER PLANT AND THE JUDGES KNEW THEY WEREN'T PERFORMING LIKE THEY SHOULD HAVE THEY WAS JUST LOOKING TO GET PAID & SEND ME TO PRISON. THESE LAWYER NEVER EVEN INVESTIGATE & JUDGES SHOULD HAVE KNOW THEIR WAS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST WITH MYSELF & THOSE ATTORNEYS. THE CASE WAS JUDGE AND I WAS DESTROYED BEFORE I GOT OUT OF PRISON TO HIDE HOW HIS SELF AND THE STATE AND VIOLATED THE 5th 6th 9th AND 14th AMEND. OF THE UNITED STATES. JUDGE AND HAVE A RIGHT TO SELF REPRESENTATION UNDER THE 6th AMEND SINCE I WAS DENIED A COMPETENT ATTORNEY UNDER U.S CONST. 6th AMEND THAT VIOLATED CONFLICT OF INTEREST BETWEEN MYSELF & WHICH LEAD TO HER ABANDONING MY CASE WHICH FORCED ME TO FIGHT FOR MY FREEDOM ON MY OWN EVER MOTION I FILED JUDGE NEVER RESPONDED ON HIM AND JUST DENIED THEM WHICH ALL OF THEM DENIED ME DUE PROCESS OF THE LAW WHEN THEY DENIED ME EQUAL PROTECTION TO ALL EVIDENCE THAT ALL GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS HAVE. SINCE I AM ALLEGED VICTIMS ARE JUDGE, and JUDGE is DENYING ALL MY MOTIONS SO I CAN'T BE LET FREE. THE ACTS BY THEM IS BIAS FOR THE UNLAWFUL THINGS THEY ARE DOING. I HAVE A RIGHT TO EVERYTHING I FILED AND MY FREEDOM. THESE CASES ARE PRODUCTS OF SELECTIVE AND VINDICTIVENESS PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT WITHIN THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM AND FOR THESE JUDGES TO ALLOW ILLEGAL AND UNLAWFUL ACTS DONE BY GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS ARE UNFAIR & BIAS.