

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-227

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

October 9, 2019

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge made several improper rulings in his criminal matter.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 9, 2019.

discriminates against me was during my

(Rule 32)

It was discovered by my legal team that a jury. Judge had allowed a juror to sit on my jury. This was brought to the attention of Judge. There are clear

instructions that the court is supposed due when it finds that there was an that was on the jury. A is declared and a new trial starts. There is another troubling fact, and that is that the Juror had filled out

the perspective juror questionnaire, question number was: Juror marked - This person had That is a Judge failed to report the crime, this

is necessary after the fact. Judge denied my the reasoning was that there isn't a that I have to get an all jury. This is a fact, the reason that there isn't anything as far as a

is because if you have jurors a is not needed.

American Heritage Dictionary

*Qualification: The possession of qualities or properties inherently or legally necessary to make one eligible for a position or office or to perform a public duty.

*Qualified: Possessing the necessary qualifications.

*Voir Dire: A preliminary examination of a perspective juror by a judge or lawyer to decide whether the prospect is qualified and suitable to serve on a jury.

The discrimination that I am subjected to by Judge is that all the other jurors that have been in trials were Judge didn't do that in my case. During my Rule 32 Judge thought that there were several, one of them was.

took my right and opportunity to be heard when he rested without me agreeing on it, he didn't mention anything about it to either. He can't do that. At the

" took the oath and then the stand, and told the court
the truth about not asking me or mentioning it to took the oath
and then the stand, and told the court the truth about not mentioning anything
resting. Judge brought up a time that before a recess told
the judge that he'd discuss putting on my defense. That never took place. Judge
mindset must have thought that was perjury when he was on
the stand. Judge! discriminates against me again. In every other court this
would result in a new trial. Another instance where Judge discriminate against
me is when withheld " which clearly turned out to be "
"In every other court this would result in a new trial. Had Judge
done what was Necessary and Proper, I would have had a lawyer that would have defended
me, and shown the jury and contradicted the States case.
As with any " there is a " that the individual believes will solve the issue.
Before I list the " "I'd like to interject some thought on this whole thing. I have
been waiting for over for Judge to realize the " that
needs to be taken on this whole mess. But so far Judge has made it obvious
that rather than correct this " that was created in Judge court
Judge has kicked it down the " "so that another Court will
pick it up and do what Judge should have done ago.
Resolution: Ideally I would want Judge to overturn my conviction, which
could be done at anytime, new trial, Judge recuse from the case. Also "
" that is deemed reasonable. And In the future treat everyal the same.

Note: This will be used to show that I have " " on being discriminated
against.