

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-265

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

November 13, 2019

The Complainant alleged an appellate court judge was biased against him and issued unclear and unsigned orders.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Christopher W. Ames, Colleen E. Concannon, George H. Foster, Jr., Christopher P. Staring and J. Tyrrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 13, 2019.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2019-265

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

MOTIONS FILED ARE NEVER CLEAR
CANNOT BE UNDERSTOOD
NEVER SIGNED BY JUDGE
JUDGE FAVORS OTHER PARTY WHILE
APPEAL PENDING

STATE OF AZ

VS

CASE
DEPARTMENT

CAUSE

MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION

REQUESTS FROM COURT ORDER DATED A CLARIFICATION OF
MOTION.


REQUESTS FROM THE COURT MOTIONS SIGNED BY A JUDGE.

THUS FAR HAS NOT RECEIVED ANY MOTIONS SIGNED BY A JUDGE FROM THE
COURT.

THUS FAR HAS NOT BEEN RECOGNIZED FAIRLY BY THIS COURT.

cc;

STATE OF ARIZONA



O R D E R

Department

Cause No.

RE: IN RE MARRIAGE OF

Pursuant to MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION, and the Clerk of this Court being
authorized to sign Court orders,


ORDERED: MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION is DENIED.

DATED:

Presiding Judge

Copies to:

STATE OF ARIZONA



O R D E R

Department

Cause No.

RE:

v.

There having been no compliance with this court's order dated

ORDERED: The above-entitled appeal is deemed at-issue.

DATED:

Clerk of the Court

Copies to: