

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-287

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

November 27, 2019

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to afford him due process and fairness during his trial.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 27, 2019.

CONFIDENTIAL
Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2019-287

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

On _____, at approximately _____

I was before Judge _____ for a jury trial. She was the _____ of the trial, and was to make sure, I was treated _____

① Once the trial was underway, Judge _____ the prosecutor to open _____ bag, and _____ contents around so each member of the jury; _____ each one _____

She, as well as my attorney, took _____ off charge
② Furthermore, when the first witness for the prosecution, Officer _____ could not remember exactly, the area he, officer _____ reported in his own report, the prosecutor, walked over to _____ let officer _____ read from a _____ report that officer _____ already, _____ should have had memorized _____

Officer _____ also stated that, there was no cause, for (myself) to be bothered, for there was no _____ for the call he responded for.

The judge as well as my attorney said nothing.

(cont. on added page)

(cont. from previous page)

③

During my attorney, MR. [redacted], got up to speak, spoke for about [redacted] I had a [redacted] moment. My attorney stops speaking, [redacted] at me, then looks at the jury, [redacted] his hands, exclaims [redacted], thanks the jury, then sits down.

From start to finish, maybe [redacted] elapsed.

The judge said nothing, just stared at me as if I was the scum of the earth.

④

In conclusion, I, MR. [redacted] was [redacted] in her courtroom. I was lead to understand that she was big on [redacted] and [redacted] during her court proceedings, I received neither.

These facts are supported by my trial footage. I am currently trying to get it [redacted] to present as [redacted]

Also, OFFICER [redacted] testify in [redacted] if he was [redacted]

Is supposed to [redacted] how is [redacted] to testify in [redacted] ?