

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-292

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

November 27, 2019

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace gave “special favors” in an eviction proceeding.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer’s legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 27, 2019.

CONFIDENTIAL

Case No. Judge
Date:

is a frequent litigant with his landlord tenant actions based on his home address and that of his rental properties. I was one but that is not why I was there. said he got special favors from so I let myself get evicted to see these special favors because I did work at one time for the I was curious. I also knew the proceeding was filmed whereas did not. He looked for an actual video camera in the rear of the courtroom.

gave a foot pedal that pressed to keep certain things off the appellate record, whatever chose as plaintiff. I did not get a foot pedal to remove anything I said from the appellate record as defendant. Moreover, said in an overly friendly fashion " responded " ". That exchange implied out of court and improper contact. I was particularly curious for a couple of reasons.

First, precluded me from filing domestic violence charges against him after he knocked me unconscious by saying he was going to have throw the book at me, imprison me and would then steal all my belongings (he did steal my while I lived in his rental, which I learned upon my return to after the eviction).

Second, I tried to argue a point of procedural law that appears on the justice court website. had zero idea what I was referring to and it was impossible to even argue the point with or without reference to the website. had made up his mind and nothing was going to deter his planned decision.

Third, and particularly his wife and have a mutual friend who routinely appears for non-payment of rent, basically every Nothing much seems to happen although she has been adjudicated for passing bad checks in other justice court jurisdictions. wife actually came over my house to plead with me to leave her husband alone before the hearing arguing that they have a " ". How low on the ethics pole can you go to send your wife? is not fit for judicial service and deprives defendants of civil rights.

Judge also awarded money after he concluded the hearing and I left. did not ask for money for the electrical bill during the hearing or I would have objected. I received a copy of the video recording but was unable to view it on my computer so that was useless to any computer user. Basically got off because I then submitted it to the where had filed a complaint to tell the " " and should be disbarred because in part thought my performance in front of was poor. I never intended to win. Only see what foot pedal was giving ?D had already said s dangerous, in addition to being a nut job basically over what he was complaining about in the first place, which was my car in his after we just had a dust storm. had ?D serve the summons and they examined the car and could find nothing wrong with it except a little dirt. It ran fine. was deliberately going after my and used Judge as a conduit who went along with it.