

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-294

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

November 27, 2019

An anonymous Complainant alleged that a municipal court judge improperly denied his request to record the proceeding and was biased against him.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 27, 2019.

Commission of Judicial Conduct

Judicial Complaint Department

RE: Judge

1501 W Washington St. Suite 229

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Case #

- OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT AND DISABILITY

Court – Judge presiding. Arizona courthouse, prior to the court hearing, last name unknown, the Public Information Officer for the court, met with me both publicly and privately on to discuss video recording in the courthouse. She was very kind and informative out of the courtroom. Ultimately, she agreed that filming in the courthouse was in fact lawful and allowed recording in the courthouse indefinitely. It was at this time, verbally committed to allowing recording in the courtroom, Judge presiding.

Minutes prior to the hearing just after setting up materials and recording device stand on my table, entered the courtroom. We greeted each other and I asked her questions about my case. I asked her after answering my questions, if she was the judge. She said yes. She included that if we talk any more about the case specifics she could be in trouble. I asked her why and she stated ex parties a crime and then left the chambers after from behind the bench. She asked me if I was recording and I said yes. courtroom.

prosecution entered the courtroom took their position and prepared for the hearing as I was as well.

Seconds later, * entered the courtroom and aggressively demanded I stop recording per the Judges order. Under protest I complied as not to upset the court. I was hallway doors between the courtroom and the where * told me politely and quietly that I was in fact not able to record. I responded to her that I was given permission by her to record on this day of my scheduled hearing. When asked why I needed to film, my response included my disabilities and my eligibility for such rights have been established. Additionally, I received verbal permission from a representative of the court to the hearing. We returned to the courtroom. I was asked by if I had representation. I answered no because I did not for that hearing. I could not afford an attorney. After giving this information to the she decided to proceed with the hearing. My request to record was ultimately denied following a lengthy deliberation at the Judges direction reason being the court did not receive a written request. Verbal requests I was then instructed that the video was available for purchase from the court. I feel like that is an unreasonable determination considering the assessment level of the situation. We proceeded.

After attorney worthy court litigation for this hearing, unrepresented and unprotected, decided my property was in fact not mine. Motion denied.

I suspect bias AND PARTIALITY in this matter due to a high-profile treatment from the
and to include county sheriff's department. A rather large Notice of
Claim previously served to all entities involved in the arrest and imprisonment, had an impact on
impartiality in this hearing. This notice could affect her position directly with her employer creating
motivating rewards for herself pending a specific decision and ruling.

I feel like my rights, both Constitutional and Disability, were violated by contributing to
the impartiality, bias and ultimate decision of the case fraudulent.

After more research on how to file this complaint and put it together I found more disturbing
news about her. Judge accused of a misdemeanor crime, for a very
similar crime she was judging me on once before. The case was made, and ultimately after retaliatory
attempts to discredit the district attorney claiming the bias and filing the motion, due
to the preponderance of evidence and argument in motion. She asserted this was not an
admission of guilt regarding her I believe this should be considered in this complaint and
reconsidered by this committee to see if crimes had been committed and charge them accordingly.
Potential Violations: 1.1, 1.2, and 2.8(B) of the code of the judicial conduct code.

Video recordings of all events described in this complaint form that will substantiate all or most
of these claims to be true and accurate, can be requested in writing, by phone or e mail at:

*** I CHOOSE TO REMAIN AS ANONYMOUS DUE TO THE ONGOING NATURE OF THIS CASE AND LAWSUITS PENDING***