

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-300

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

December 11, 2019

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him and made improper evidentiary rulings in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 11, 2019.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2019-300

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

In my trial it became clear that Judge _____ was bias and prejudicial. In accordance with Rule 81 She knowingly violated Canon 2 Rule 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 by letting pictures of me into evidence I was in _____ this I believe was prejudicial in the jury's eyes. She violated Rule 2.4, 2.8 and 2.9 By letting the officers talk to the jury She did not declare a mistrial which she should of done on the spot.

A motion made stating that the prosecution did not prove her case She denied it. The witnesses which were admitted to tampering with evidence, Breaking the law by hitting me with the _____ and not establishing a chain of command. The officers admitted to the _____ and the prosecution clearly did not show the evidence to the arresting officers to establish that that is what was taken from me. This motion should of went in my favor. The Police did not have probable cause to pull me over and the prosecution did not establish the proof needed.

During closing while the Judge and the Attorneys were in side bar. The witness officer _____ and the Victim's officers _____, where caught talking to the jury. DO _____ and myself all saw this. When brought this to the judges attention she only asked what was said and did nothing. She took the word of the officers without further investigation. No one but the officers and the jury know what was said. I should of been granted a mistrial and _____ should of been charged with jury tampering. I do not feel like I recieved a fair trial. ² Thank you