

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-302

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

December 11, 2019

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge withheld evidence, unlawfully detained him, and illegally sentenced him.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 11, 2019.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2019-302

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Pursuant to Rule 8.2 Judicial Ethics Advisory Committee 8.3 to 9.0 [reserved].

on Rules for Procedures for TRIALS and DIRECT APPEALS FROM DECISIONS OF THE

GOVERNING BODIES OF PUBLIC POWER ENTITIES Rule 1-10 The UNCONSTITUTIONAL

ACTS by Judge(s) withheld evidence DVD recording and

unknown timeline sequence exhibits unknown certified affidavit of probable

cause Jurisdiction. The illegal convictions continues to go on. The cover up

for the Dept conspired silence retaliation DVD recording and

Exhibits Public Record request is nonprivileged information that can exonerate

me from all charges. The judge closely connected with the cover up of issues:

1) DVD recording TWO Booking Number(s)

2) Exhibits unknown timeline sequence original records

3) Issuance of mandate by preserving rights

Complaint Against a Judge case # newly discovered evidence for CAMEN
MEMORANDA attachments see mandate on Judges' ordered;

STATE OF ARIZONA
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Judge:) case"
(newly discovered evidence)
Complainant:) Judge(s) conspired silence

Pursuant to ARTICLE 2, § 11 of the ARIZONA CONSTITUTION requires that "Justice in all cases shall be administered openly and without unnecessary delay"

CANON 2. A Judge shall Perform the Duties of Judicial office
Impartially, Competently and Diligently

The submission docketed: DISCHARGE OF PRISONER newly discovered evidence.

Every matter submitted to a judge of the court for his decision shall be decided within from submission set forth, "

Judge(s) being improperly influence by the DEPT Law Enforcement
newly discovered evidence "submitted docketed:

DISCHARGE OF PRISONER procedures protecting the right to be heard.

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 13-4131, 13-4132 newly discovered evidence Rule 2.6.

MEMORANDA

The defendant's NOTICE OF APPEAL(S) filed: and

Clerk of the Court Customer Service section/

The "and" "disjointed by Dept-

Jurisdiction unknown date of PUBLIC DOCKET. Appeal from the Court in

County cause no. The Honorable Judge

courtroom IMPROPER certification date(s) mandated order

See DISMISSED ATTACHED (L) improper certification date(s) mandated order

See DISMISSED ATTACHED (M).

The DIVISION case # ordered court reporter s
transcripts due date extended from to for
proceedings held on Further ordered, if transcripts not filed
by date above court reporter is to appear before
this court on at "

On the appellant PUBLIC RECORD REQUEST preparation on Records

Jurisdiction paid fee(s) in the amount for DVD recording of FULL BOOKING

PROCEDURES in question; UNKNOWN TIMELINE sequence exhibit s

Pursuant to certified mail delivered to: Police
videotape evidence newly disclosed evidence has been revealed from the
respondent(s) for the first time after plus DVD for
without time stamp one ground for relief: case

PETITIONER DECLARED THE PROSECUTORS HAS REFUSED TO DISCLOSE A
VIDEOTAPE THAT WOULD EXONERATE PETITIONER AND THAT PETITIONERS
COUNSELS HAS FAILED TO REQUEST THE VIDEOTAPE PETITIONERS DECLARED
THAT THIS VIOLATES HIS 4th 5th 6th and 14th AMENDS RIGHTS as
well as his right under Brady v. Maryland 373 U.S. 83 (1963)

Petitioner has preserved a CLAIM OF ERROR IN case #
1) videotape evidence IN case #

PETITIONER ASSERTS THAT HE IS IN CUSTODY IN VIOLATION OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL
LAWS or TREATIES OF THE U.S. TAMPERED EVIDENCE FABRICATION OF TIMELINE
OF EVENTS, TAMPERED SCENE, NON-DISCLOSURE(S) FALSE ARREST(S) IN
VIOLATION OF SPEEDY TRIAL RIGHTS

See Subpoena Laws § - videotape evidence

STATES MOTION TO QUASH Petitioner Subpoenized videotape evidence disclosed
later on without

Pursuant to ARIZ. P. CRIM. P. 37 (1)(2)(3)(4) & (5) disposition of charges challenge

request because all charges were dismissed re-filed new charge unknown original.

COUNT and COUNT SENTENCE of IMPRISONMENT transfer(s) to

ARIZONA

invalidated begin date(s) and charges (unknown original)

COUNT

On a second trial commissioned on COUNT unknown original COUNT

and COUNT misconduct involving class felony unknown in indictment

After trials and sentence unknown disposition of charges ARIZONA

revealed no complaint filed on COUNT

and COUNT sentence of IMPRISONMENT improper certification(s) and

fraud upon the court(s) unknown original. Imposition of an illegal sentence

constitutes fundamental error set forth,

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**