

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 19-371

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

May 12, 2020

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge ignored evidence, failed to rule on motions, granted motions prematurely, and was biased against him.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 12, 2020.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2019 - 371

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

was a highly contested Custody Case in which \_\_\_\_\_ was appointed to well after the egregious and dangerous behavior of the petitioner required the \_\_\_\_\_ Children to be removed from her care. The Original proceedings were in the Court of the Hon. \_\_\_\_\_ who was alarmed to the point of action from the facts presented. Early on in the Court Proceedings Judge \_\_\_\_\_ stated on the record he did not review the evidence I submitted. The \_\_\_\_\_ audio cd's ignored by the court contained recorded phone calls where the petitioner threatened to kill herself an the children multiple times on multiple occasions. During a trial proceeding Judge \_\_\_\_\_ became visually and physically perturbed when finding me in contempt for having to retake a screening at \_\_\_\_\_ This is the beginning of repeated threats for " \_\_\_\_\_ " he stated on the record that before sentencing me for the contempt the court required a " \_\_\_\_\_ " During the same series of trials the rule of exclusion had been invoked. This is when the court allowed opposing Counsel to inform his witness of my entire testimony which she was not in court for, in order to render a professional diagnosis of me despite a number of objections from my attorney to over ruled orders from the court. During the majority of my case I was a pro se litigant. This opened the door for the court to allow opposing counsel to run the proceedings according to opposing counsels determinations including the violation of my HIPPA rights. I was ordered to perform \_\_\_\_\_ Urinealysis testing Observed for over \_\_\_\_\_ months. I was ordered to provide the lab results to oppssing counsel to maintain visitation which i flawlessly did. The courts order was to test for the use of any " \_\_\_\_\_ " of which not one UA revealed. Opposing Counsel was allowed by the court to take the lab results which revealed the Legally Prescribed medication of which I provided proof to the court as well as Opposing Counsel. The court allowed opposing counsel to use the prescribed medication to not onle deny me visitation but to diagnose me as having High levels of protiens in my kidney which led him to believe ,(citing webmd), that I was not healthy enough to care for my children. Because opposing counsel was allowed by the court to deny and restrict my parenting Time \_\_\_\_\_ days in \_\_\_\_\_ months alone, I filed \_\_\_\_\_ motions to enforce the parenting time that the court ordered I should have. The Court Never even ruled on the motions that filed to attempt to have the court enforce it's own orders. On the Opposite side, the court would rule on opposing counsels motions well before I even recieved them, let alone the lawful time I have to respond to the motions filed. In this series of motions filed by opposing counsel the court allowed information that was false to be submitted in order to give preference to \_\_\_\_\_ (Opposing Counsel.) I have every piece of evidence to show the lack of Judicial ethics that I allege against \_\_\_\_\_ The Court Ignored evidence that \_\_\_\_\_ provided where the petitioner had case of Child Abuse substantiated. \_\_\_\_\_ also submitted a substantiated cas of Child Neglect. Before the court rendered it's custody decision it failed to acknowledge interviews with \_\_\_\_\_ family crimes in which the children revealed the night that the petitioner attempted to make good on her threats to kill the children and injured then \_\_\_\_\_ in the unlawful discharge of her firearm which she made the children swear to not reveal. Before the custody decision the petitioner faced with the evidence admitted to the felony charge and was sentenced in \_\_\_\_\_ This had no bearing on the courts custody decision. The Court did not even order the petitioner to refrain from injuring her children with her 9mm handgun, he did n ot order the removal of her firearm either. The



1 APPEARANCES:

2

3

FOR THE PETITIONER:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

FOR THE RESPONDENT:

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COURT



1 ready to proceed to a hearing. There have been a handful of  
2 new exhibits exchanged between the parties because of the  
3 timing of those exhibits, and I believe that counsel are in  
4 agreement that all of the newly-disclosed exhibits on both  
5 sides can be admitted into evidence.

6 And that means on the Respondent's side they  
7 submitted a Judgment and Sentencing and terms of probation  
8 which was entered that essentially convicted  
9 of a felony, placed him on probation. So we are  
10 stipulating to the admission of that document.

11 And on our side, Your Honor, we have continuing  
12 E.T.G. test results from the laboratory, continuing counseling  
13 records, and continuing attendance at records, all  
14 of which counsel has agreed should be admitted.

15 THE COURT: The Court's exhibit list indicates  
16 those would be Exhibits through

17 Is that correct,

18 I believe that is correct, Your  
19 Honor.

20 THE COURT: what's your position on  
21 the admissibility of Exhibits through ?

22 We agree to their admission.

23 THE COURT: Based upon the stipulation of the  
24 parties, it is ordered admitting Exhibits through .

25 If you can, can you hand me those

COURT

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**