

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-382

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

February 27, 2020

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge violated his constitutional rights, made improper decisions during jury selection, improperly denied a motion in limine, and was racially biased against him.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Roger D. Barton, Colleen E. Concannon, George H. Foster, Jr., and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 27, 2020.

2019-382


COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name

Judge's Name

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE BY STATE JUDGE JURY
SELECTION. BY STATE OF ARIZONA SUPERIOR
COURT JUDGE. DEFENSE COUNSEL ADVOCATED FOR
STATE PROSECUTORS AND DENIED DEFENDANT A VIABLE
DEFENSE, VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS TO NOT ALLOWED
THE CHARGER. DID THE PROSECUTOR - JUDGE - LAWYERS
FOR THIS CASE ENGAGE IN MALICIOUS AND VINDICTIVE
PROSECUTION OF THE DEFENDANT TRIAL. THE TRIAL
JUDGE ABUSE HIS DISCRETION BY ALLOWINGLY THE
STATE PROSECUTOR TO USE " " _____
EMPLOYMENT SUPERIOR COURT HERE IN _____
COUNTY. PROBATION OFFICER WOULD CAUSE FAVOR.
THE STATE OVER THE DEFENDANT TRIAL CASE.
JUROR: _____ STATEMENT TRIAL DAY
ONE IN A PROBATION OFFICER FOR SUPERIOR COURT
HERE IN _____ COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICER " " _____
" IS ILLEGALLY IN
SENDING EXHIBITS 40"
AND ABOUT THE CASE BEFORE BEING PUT ON THE
JURY: VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS. JUDGE
KNOWINGLY, INTELEGENTLY AND VOLUNTEERINGLY TO
ALLOW SUCH IN PROPERTIES IS A VIOLATION OF DUE
PROCESS 14TH 5TH AMENDMENT U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS
(VIOLATION) - (VIOLATION OF SELECTIVE (PROCESS)
(CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY OR IS PERJURY) ENGAGE BY JUDGE
SELECTIVE PROSECUTION (RACIST JUDGE)² JUDGE NEW BY FABRICATING. FALSIFYING REPORTS.
POLICE REPORTS WAS LOST JUDGE



COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

big, PREJUDICE, TOWARD THE DEFENDANT CASE
LOOK UP JURY SELECTION. POLICES, PRITICAL FOR
JURY SELECTION. EXHIBIT JUDGE IT IS ORDERED THAT
THE DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE #2 IS DENIED BY
JUDGE. AND JURY. TRIAL DAY ONE
JURY STATEMENT I PERSONALLY KNOW SOME ONE IN
THE COUNTY ATTORNEYS OFFICER. JURY SERVED IN TRIAL
FINAL IS ILLEGALLY (EXHIBIT) JURY SELECTION-PROBATION
OFFICER ON A JURY PANEL. AND JUDGE APPROVED IT OK
TRIAL DAY ONE JUDGE STATEMENT I KNOW
IS A PROBATION OFFICER, SO WE'VE ALREADY " "
" IS CONFLICT OF INTEREST. AND CONFLICT OF JUSTICE.
" JUDGE SHOULD HAVE EXCLUDED ANY PERSON WITH KNOWING
OF JUDICIAL PROCEDURES. "
" IN LIGHT OF ALL THE LEGAL
MISTAKES IN THIS CASE, FUNDAMENTAL IN NATURE, FROM
TRIAL UNTIL " (WERE LIMITED RECORD) AND SOME HELP
TO EXPLAIN THE COORUPTION HE HAS FACED- IS RELEVANT.
AND EVEN IF THE RECORD " " JUDGE DENIAL ALL
MY EVIDENCE AND DENIAL ALL MOTION FOR TRIAL. AND
DENIAL MOTION FOR MIS-TRIAL & MOTION FOR RULE 20 DENIAL
BY JUDGE " " " WRONGDOING BY JUDGE
NEGLIGENCE " " AGAINST IMMIGRANT "
I REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION STATUS. RULE. ARIZONA SUPREME
COURT RULE 81, " " (133) FEDERAL CIVI

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

PAGE (4)

/JUDGE'S NAME

1ST AMENDMENT - STATE POWER ETHICS COMPLAINT
FILED AGAINST JUDGE "

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT EVIDENCE OF
OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE BY STATE JUDGE,
DISCLOSURE DATABASE PROCEDURE - DISCIPLINE
ADMISSION PROCEDURE - APPOINTMENT; POWER,
U.S. SUPREME COURT RULES (28 U.S.C. § 1331)
ARIZONA SUPREME COURT RULES. RULE 8A

"

"/

"

"

"/

"(EXCLUSION OF JURORS ON ACCOUNT
OF RACE OR COLOR see 18 U.S.C.A. § 243)(see
18 U.S.C.A. § 3A73)(I ACTUALLY INNOCENT)
FEDERAL RULES (1512)(B)(C) (1512)(III) FEDERAL CRIMINAL
CODE AND RULES, (Judge order ARE charged
OUT THE SAME TWICE. A.R.S. CODE. DOUBLE JEOPARDY.
THE COUNT THREE VERDICT FORM REFERENCES COURT
TWO, AND Judge checked is OK IS VIOLATE LAW
(Exhibit 3)

"JUDGE TAMPERING WITH TRIAL"

"SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE
CONSPIRACY AGAINST REFUGEE (IMMIGRANT) FOR ARIZONA
ROUTINE OF FRAUD BY THE STATE JUDGE:

OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE BY STATE OF ARIZONA

DEFENSE COUNSEL ADVOCATED FOR STATE PROSECUTORS AND DENIED DEFENDANT A VIABLE DEFENSE, VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS TO NOT ALLOWED THE CHARGER. DID THE PROSECUTOR JUDGE LAWYER FOR THIS CASE ENGAGE IN MALICIOUS AND VINDICTIVE PROSECUTION OF THE DEFENDANT. THE TRIAL COURT ABUSE IT'S DISCRETION BY ALLOWINGLY THE STATE PROSECUTOR TO USE EMPLOYMENT AS A PROBATION OFFICER WOULD CAUSE FAVOR THE STATE OVER THE DEFENDANT. TRIAL CASE JUROR:

STATEMENT IM A PROBATION OFFICER FOR SUPERIOR COURT HERE IN COUNTY ATTORNEYS OFFICE JUROR COVERED BY JUDGE IS ILLEGALLY IM SENDING EXHIBITS JUROR:

KNOW THE VICTIM AND ABOUT THE CASE BEFORE BEING PUT ON THE JURY. JURY STATEMENT I HAVE TREATED THE VICTIM AT THE HOSPITAL THE COURT NOTES THAT ITS LAW CLERK REGARDING THE ISSUE AS DISCUSSED ON THE RECORD.

SERVED ON THE JURY TRIAL OF THE DEFENDANTS CASE IS ILLEGALLY VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS IM SENDING EXHIBIT

JUROR: STATEMENT I PERSONALLY KNOW SOMEONE IN THE

COUNTY ATTORNEYS OFFICE JURY SERVED IN TRIAL FINAL IS ILLEGALLY JURY COVERED BY JUDGE

EXHIBIT JUROR: STATEMENT MY NEPHEW HE'S A HE'S A SERVED IN JURY TRIAL. JURY: IS JURY ON MY CASE IS ILLEGALLY EXHIBIT PROSECUTOR:

IS ISSUE ABOUT TEXT MESSAGES PROSECUTOR STATEMENT ABOUT TEXT MESSAGES (PAGE) () I DONT EVEN KNOW WHAT THEY SAY. MESSAGES ON FRENCH I DONT SPEAK FRENCH. DENIAL MY MESSAGES.

THE COURT NOTES THAT ITS LAW CLERK REGARDING THE ISSUE AS DISCUSSED ON THE RECORD. TEXT MESSAGE AND MY CELL PHONE I REFERRED TO A MESSAGE SHE SENT ME I BELIEVE COURT HAVE RECORD OF THOSE THOSE TEXT MESSAGE?.

1 INCIDENTS RECORDING AND MY CELL PHONE POLICE TAKE
2 ON WITH ALL OF ITS "
3 OR ATLEAST MITIGATING INFORMATION BEFORE MY TRIAL AND MY VIDEO
4 RECORDING FROM MY CELL PHONE TAKE BY ON MY
5 TEL IS MY WITNESS DENIED BY COURT.
6

7 - JURY SELECTION

8 - PROBATION OFFICER ON A JURY PANEL AND JUDGE APPROVED IT OK
9 CONFLICT OF INTEREST. AND CONFLICT OF JUSTICE

10 - JUDGE SHOULD HAVE EXCUSED ANY PERSON WITH KNOWING OF JUDICIAL
11 PROCEDURES.

12 - JUDGE KNOWINGLY, INTELEGENTLY AND VOLUNTEERINGLY TO ALLOW SUCH
13 IN PROPERTIES IS A VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS 14TH AMENDMENT
14 U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. (VIOLATION)

15 - VIOLATION OF SELECTIVE (PROCESS)

16 - big , PREJUDICE , TOWARD THE DEFENDANT

17 + LOOK UP JURY SELECTION

18 - LAWYER SHOULD HAVE OBJECT SUCH PRACTICE

19 - POLICIES ; PROTICAL FOR JURY SELECTION. (LOOK IT UP)
20
21
22
23

24 **NOTARIAL JURAT**

25
26
27
28 **NOTARY PUBLIC**

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**