

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-389

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

February 27, 2020

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge engaged in fraudulent acts.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Roger D. Barton, Colleen E. Concannon and George H. Foster, Jr., did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 27, 2020.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2019-389

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

For his complaint, County Court Commissioner asserts that breached the duty of care in violation of the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct, Rule 81, pursuant to the foregoing sections:


1. Rule 1.1, Compliance with the law
2. Rule 1.2, Promoting Confidence in the Judiciary
3. Rule 2.3, Bias, Prejudice, and Harassment
4. Rule 2.15, Responding to Judicial and Lawyer Misconduct

contends that in re
controverts this proceeding in precedent, he argues
that lodged a frivolous count criminal
indictment against him to collect a civil debt,
complained that received a
Az. Unemployment Insurance overpayment
but charged him on that indictment with
state fraud. argues that the indictment
was unlawful where the state lodged a criminal
complaint to collect a civil debt, and that he
was charged with frauds that were not frauds.
In violation of US vs. Lonier, 520 US 259 (1997),
he contends that acting under color
of law deprived him of his rights with his

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY



COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

frivolous indictment, was held
 accountable for the total unemployment
 payout amount which only was alleged
 as fraud. Further, was told (promissory
 estoppel) that if he paid the state the
 indictment would be dismissed. He
 sentenced to was
 in on the case, but
 the AZ -unemployment insurance demanding to
 the indictment be dismissed and the court
 refused to validate or make good on it's
 promise. Since that time has made
 many attempts at what are considered "mail fraud
 collection schemes" to collect a debt that does
 not owe. Additionally, the
 was contacted and informed me that the
 debt was and not
 Office is known for Mexican frauds,
 fake arrests, collection schemes, and many other
 frauds. I seek specific performance on the
 estoppel promise to clear the record, and any
 sanctions the AZC may consider appropriate.