

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 19-390

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

March 25, 2020

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him and engaged in a conspiracy to convict him with the prosecution.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 25, 2020.

RE: CASE NO

Dear

I, , AM  
REGRETIFULLY INFORMING  
YOU OF JUDGE

ABUSE OF DISCRETIONS,  
AND INTERFERING WITH JUDICIAL  
PROCEEDING, AND CONSPIRACY.

I WAS BEFORE HER ON A  
SECOND CAUSE,  
WHERE SHE RESTED OVER EVERY  
APPEARANCE, (I.E., EVIDENTIARY &  
MOTION HEARINGS). AS MY TRIAL  
JUDGE, SHE HAD "TOO MUCH  
KNOWLEDGE" OF THIS CASE,  
SO HER DECISION MAKING WAS  
PREJUDICIAL, FAVORING THE  
PROSECUTION.

ON , AT A STATUS  
CONFERENCE, SHE "CLEARLY PRE-  
JUDGED" HERSELF, BY NOT  
ANSWERING QUESTIONS THAT  
HAVE BEARINGS ON BOTH CASES.

DURING TRIAL IN CAUSE

, SHE ABUSED

HER DISCRETION, BY KNOWINGLY ALLOWING THE STATE'S WITNESSES, TO COMMIT PURGERY ON THE STAND.

SHE ALLOWED JUROR , TO SWITCH EVIDENCE.

SHE ALLOWED ME TO BE PROSECUTED WITH NO PHOTOGRAPHS AND FIELD TESTING. (THIS WAS A DRUG CASE, ALLEGEDLY)

WHEN THE FIRST VERDICT CAME BACK AS A "HUNG JURY," SHE PERMITTED ONE OF THE ALTERNATE JURORS, , TO BE CALLED IN. JUROR , GOT EMOTIONAL AND DID NOT WANT TO BE A PART OF THIS.

DURING THE AGGRAVATION STAGE, SHE BROUGHT UP A CHARGE THAT WAS SUPPOSED TO BE TAKEN OFF, DUE TO PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT, (I.E. OPENING OF EVIDENCE BAG) TO BE USED AS AN AGGRAVATING PRIOR. A TRIAL THAT SHE RESIDED OVER IN

ALLOWED PROSECUTOR, TO  
ONCE AGAIN, "OPEN EVIDENCE  
BAG", WITH UNGLOVED HANDS.

WITH ALL OF THESE  
VIOLATIONS, IT IS MY  
BELIEF, THAT THIS WHOLE  
SITUATION WAS A  
"CONSPIRACY TO CONVECT"  
AND "KIDNAPPING"

SUBMITTED THIS  
DAY OF \_\_\_\_\_  
BY \_\_\_\_\_