

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-394

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

March 25, 2020

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly denied a request for a default judgment.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 25, 2020.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2019-394

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

For his complaint, _____ asserts that
Court Commissioner
violated Rule 81, Arizona Code of Judicial
Conduct:
Rule 1.1, Compliance with the law
Rule 2.2, Impartiality and Fairness
Rule 2.3, Bias, Prejudice, and Harassment
Rule 2.15, Responding to Judicial and Lawyer
Misconduct


_____ argues that under US vs. Lanier, 520 US
259 (1997), _____ criminal and ethical violations
have deprived him of his rights. (See attached clerk's
minute entry and docket) and that is is presumed
the _____ Court is attempting to carry out
child. see In re
to decide appropriate sanctions for such
misconduct (Rule 2.2, and Rule 2.3 violation)

_____ argues that _____ is violating the
Purcaine Statute where his office, (see In re
) to determine
accountability and sanctions, has taken
extreme measures to cancel out my docket;

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY



COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

- State vs. Krug, 96 Ariz 225 (1964)
- Perjury - ARS 13-2702 and ARS 13-2705
- Obstruction of Justice - ARS 13-2409
- Interference - ARS 13-2810

asserts (see docket) that the complaint was properly served in accordance with the Arizona and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 4, (see also Barlag vs. Valentine, 210 Ariz 270 (2005), Brockmeyer vs. May, 383 F.3d 798 (2004), and Worrell vs. B.F. Goodrich Co., 845 F.2d 840 (1988)), he argues that Court should be sanctioned pursuant to Rule 81, Rules of Judicial Conduct, and the Arizona Revised Statutes for obstructing and/or interfering with a criminal investigation amounting to Rule 7.1, Rule 2.2, and Rule 2.15 violations of the judicial code causing prejudice to the plaintiff.

Pursuant to _____, the complaint served properly but _____ continues to harass and obstruct this proceeding, justifying judicial sanctions, (see also ARS 13-713)

HONORABLE

CLERK OF THE COURT

NO ADDRESS ON RECORD

NO ADDRESS ON RECORD

NO ADDRESS ON RECORD

NO ADDRESS ON RECORD

NO ADDRESS ON RECORD

MINUTE ENTRY

The Court is in receipt of Plaintiff's Application and Affidavit for Entry of Default Judgment and Writ of Execution to Account.

IT IS ORDERED rejecting Plaintiff's Application and Affidavit for Entry of Default Judgment and Writ of Execution to Account, pursuant to Ariz.R.Civ.P. 5(a)(g) because it was neither filed nor served on each of the parties.