

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-402

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

March 25, 2020

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge refused to allow him to be heard and would not appoint him a new attorney.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 25, 2020.

(1)

Comp

2019-402

STATE BAR OF ARIZONA!!!!!!

My name is _____ And I am an inmate at
the _____ County Jail. I have been charged with said
alleged _____ And on _____ in
Court for a _____ Hearing, in regards to a previous
Complaint, I filed against _____ for
Serious violations of my Rights as well my Civil
liberties, with "significant" proof [EVIDENCE] in
HONORABLE _____ Court Room.

This Judge _____ in _____ Flat out
told me he would "NOT" allow me to fire Another Public
Defender, after he Assigned me a New Attorney, in
regards to Case _____ while in same
Hearing.

"MY SIXTH AMENDMENT" PROVIDES, THAT I
HAVE RIGHT TO COUNSEL IN CRIMINAL MATTERS
Not only Does the "Sixth Amendment" say I have a
Right to Counsel, But Also a "Right to effective
Counsel. This Judge _____ in _____
Has tried his hardest to Prejudice me and
force me to "hinder my own case" using ineffective
Counsel. I was only Granted new Counsel becau-
se I had to hand write a Motion against _____

And "PUT" THE COURT ON
THE "SPOT" DURING COURT ROOM MINUTE
ENTRY.....!!!!!!! lots of "Corruption" going on.

This Hon:

Flat out "Refused to take a _____ letter

(2)

of severe and significant ["EVIDENCE"] in my behalf only let me tell him a couple of absolute 'miscarriages of JUSTICE's'

This JUDGE

in every other Court hearing of a said ordered Rule 11 evaluation, by

this man hasn't or isn't a MD: !! ordered by Judge and of course

Course I showed case law, ARS, statutes where he was violating my Rights as said Counsel. He fact used this 'Rule 11' as to punish me and in a manipulation of all.

This Judge has and is "doing his best" to "PROTECT" from getting in "severe legal trouble" for denying me my Rights of access to Courts as well effective assistance to Counsel, as well many other "Unconstitutional" Violations. This Judge has "Refused" to allow me to fully show and turn in evidence. As well speak on all violations, wasn't allowed to voice my full and all complaints in court or record, or minute entry, and as well has also "Refused" to Acknowledge the actual facts my Rights are being violated, and still are:

To conclude, I am and would like to file a "formal complaint" against County Judge

Thank you.

Sincerely

(1)

Det:
Deputy County Attorney
Firm

Det:

Judge

Judge

It is with much regret I inform you regards, in reference to receipt of State Bar letter Re: File No: _____ pertaining to _____, was in fact much, much more than just said ineffective assistance of counsel.

This is out of hand, legal issues that determination by the courts you say, and you say this is appropriate venue.

These problems don't just begin with me. There's a lot of CORRUPTION, with heads turned and blind eyes. There's a clear saying that has spirit, that is MR. _____ when 50 million Frenchmen are all everyone saying the same thing, their obviously must be truth to matters at hand, in hand

Your little County Deputy Attorney

and "Honorable"

on
for a simple Alledge

(2)

violation class 1 misdemeanor, asked for a
BOND!!!!

Highly prejudicial & biased!!!!

I want to make something very crystal clear. One No
allegations made. Truth & facts "Sorry". I had all-
ready had a Rule 11 exam on the streets
ago passed very competent very. Do not insult me.
please, & thank you!! He did abuse and most certainly
manipulate the Rule 11, as to bully me as well was
very coercive in all aspects to this case & him doing
his job, (his JOB) All these criminal unlaw-
ful Reprisals done to me by were for
me showing him in a Court Room with

where he FAILED BEING MY ATTORNEY
AND WASNT DOING HIS JOB!!!! which to
that hearing he was on minute entry
late.

The people mentioned in this separate
letter other than the Actual Complaint are
in serious legal liability for severe malicious
prosecution.

All the [Evidence] I've got shows
clear transparent unlawful conspiracy to all
parties, all of their hands are dirty. And
I can prove it with "beyond a shadow of doubt"
and I intend on doing just that.

st a
They even enlisted a psycholog-
ist a DR. "BUT" has NO MD. License
Calls him

(3)

Arizona Psychologist License he's in the states
back Pockets "!!!! everything in his Report
on Rule 11 exam was purposley misconstrued, lied
about ("Records on streets says)
(very, very different") from the lies, he fact has
said clearly + stated.

He's in legal trouble to
he lied for the judge

and All these state offic-
ials, Sworn to serve and protect. Their all in
severe legal trouble for seriously mis using
their authority misconduct, in the "highest, form"

I wrote you and compelled
you to investigate, not do just your standard form
letter or job. You ve and your Firm have failed

Real bad professionalism, real bad
when this sir, is all said and done me and those
people will have something very very in-
comin we'll all have charged charges hwh.
And you know what we'll all set back and watch
those same - people imputably lay the blame and
Responsibility on each other. You were let knowen of
these greivous breaches of law. (Im going to be asking
the for a huge Federal investigation) Then all parties
involved will be listed. Then I'll file under 28 -
U.S.C. § 2254 a petition with great Cause a writ of
habeas corpus, I will No doubt be challenging my
State conviction of said sentence. "IF" Im found

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**