

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 19-403

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

March 25, 2020

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to perform his duties and make any decisions in a criminal matter.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 25, 2020.

CONFIDENTIAL  
FOR OFFICE USE  
ONLY

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct

1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229

Phoenix, Arizona 85007



### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

The assigned judge is not fulfilling his job responsibility by refusing to make any decision for this case. The assigned judge is not fulfilling his job responsibility as he has made decisions with unnecessary delay and hateful bias.

The assigned judge's persistent failure to perform duties raises important questions about this court and brings the judiciary into disrepute.

The judge is causing unnecessary delay in processing of this case.

The judge's decisions are based on bias and hate.

The judge is blocking legal representation.

The judge is not fulfilling his job responsibility by refusing make any decisions for this case.

The judge has put the case in oblivion and blocking the defendant from getting any information about this case from the court.

The judge has violated the constitution of the United States by denying rights granted by the constitution of the United States.

The assigned judge's written responses verify that the assigned judge has made reasoning for his decisions secret and is not following the constitution of the United States. He has unjustly, cruelly and barbarically punished the falsely accused through his secret whimsical decisions without proof and evidence and without openly writing writing reasoning and without citing laws for decisions. No one can understand why the assigned judge is making such unjust, cruel, barbaric and tyrannical decisions, such authoritarianism is not allowed in the United States.