

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 19-408

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

April 1, 2020

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner had engaged in fraud and criminal acts.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 1, 2020.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2019-408

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

**Name:**

**Judge's Name:**

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

For his complaint, alleges  
that County Court  
Commissioner breached  
the duty of care, in violation of Rule 81,  
Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct,  
Rule 1.1, Compliance with the law  
Rule 2.13, Bias, Prejudice, and Harassment  
Rule 2.10, Judicial Statements on Pending  
and Impending Cases,

he argues that In Light of In re Phillip,  
226 Ariz 112 (2010), sanctions should be imposed  
against office where the clerk's  
(see attached minute entry dated  
signed by presumably judge false  
declarations or minute entries to harass or  
cancel out his civil complaints.

asserts that mail fraud  
collection schemes violate the following  
Arizona Statutes:

In State vs. Foder, 179 Ariz 442 (1994)  
the court outlines "false declarations" the

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:

Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

same as a perjury statement pursuant  
to ARS 13-2702, and ARS 13-2705

ARS 13-2409 - Obstructing Criminal  
Investigations and/or Prosecutions

ARS 13-2810 - Interfering with Judicial  
Proceedings

requests that this is his civil action  
but not only committed the above-  
captioned state violations lodging perjury  
minute entries on my case but under  
that office is responsible for any  
misconduct under direction.

moves for sanctions  
notwithstanding criminal sanctions pursuant  
to ARS 13-2702, ARS 13-2409, and ARS 13-2810.

Further, he would request that the  
mail be discontinued and that the legal documents be lodged immediately.

COURT OF ARIZONA  
COUNTY

HONORABLE

CLERK OF THE COURT

v.

MINUTE ENTRY

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

The Court received a stack of unfiled paperwork from the Plaintiff, \_\_\_\_\_ that appear to be motions. The Court cannot consider *ex parte* communications. All documents must be filed with the Clerk of the Court, a copy of the filed document must be served on all other parties, and the filing must certify that a copy was so served.

LET THE RECORD REFLECT that the above-referenced documents are rejected.