

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 19-411

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

April 1, 2020

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge (now retired) was biased against the husband in a family law matter.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 1, 2020.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2019-411

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

It is my opinion that Judge \_\_\_\_\_ violated the Judicial Code of Conduct rule 2.3 when he ordered \_\_\_\_\_ to pay \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for ( \_\_\_\_\_ ). Case \_\_\_\_\_ The Respondent in this case had a right to an unbiased ruling by the judge; a right to a fair ruling not based on bias, race, sex, gender, ethnicity and socioeconomic status. It is difficult to prove that a judge has been biased as a decree and case histories do not indicate ethnic background of the parties. However, in the case of \_\_\_\_\_ (case \_\_\_\_\_ ), we clearly have proof of bias in the \_\_\_\_\_ courts; making rulings that are lenient for an African-American \_\_\_\_\_ and punitive for the white \_\_\_\_\_ We, the public, are well aware that the many years of experience and of the consultation/conferring that the judges do amongst each other which lead to various rulings. It is interesting to note that Judge \_\_\_\_\_ from the bench \_\_\_\_\_ It was around the time that \_\_\_\_\_ had their ruling. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ in making such a disparate ruling has, in doing so, violated the Respondent's Fourteenth Amendment rights to Equal Protection under the Law. If not for \_\_\_\_\_ unfortunate \_\_\_\_\_ this would have never come to light. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ cannot be removed from the bench at this point but he should never be allowed to practice law again in practice or at the university level. He should also be made to pay restitution

**to the Respondent. It is unfortunate that this type of disparity of rulings and violation of civil rights are allowed to happen in the Court. We seek further investigation into this matter, appropriate acknowledgment and restitution.**

**I have included a number of documents for reference:**

- 1. Comparison sheet with facts photos ( )**
- 2.**
- 3. Copy of the Decree:**
- 4. Copy of the Child support order**

**Your review of the matter is greatly appreciated.**

**Case:**

**Date of filing:**

**Judgment Decree:**

**Ruling:**

**Husband pays**

**No. of Children:**

**No. of children and age at**

**Dissolution:**

**Years married: :**

**Income of**

**Petition to Modify Spousal**

**Maintenance:**

**Case:**

**Date of filing:**

**Judgment Decree:**

**Ruling:**

**Wife pays :**

**No. of Children:**

**No. of children and age at**

**Dissolution:**

**Years married:**

**Income of**

**(income at time of filing is unknown)**

JONES FIRST MARRIAGE TO ANNE MARIE JONES AND

But he shared  
had been his  
his son to fear  
videos posted just

with who  
She had tried to convince  
he would insist in one of the

era focused on an empty white mask.

his ex who he claimed that she abused their son, then  
manufactured a domestic-violence incident that cas-  
caded into a conspiracy.

of a  
with  
lost-  
am-

found to be mentally abnormal.

She filed for divorce later. And she carefully rearranged her bank accounts to keep her husband from accessing them, according to court filings.

\_\_\_\_\_ first appears in the record on \_\_\_\_\_ re-  
tained by \_\_\_\_\_ to do a risk assessment of \_\_\_\_\_  
Over the course of the divorce case, she paid him more  
than \$ \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ ment classes. \_\_\_\_\_ resisted undergoing the  
psych eval.

The trial filings then shifted to spousal payments.  
\_\_\_\_\_ was in arrears for  
dollars. \_\_\_\_\_ filed several motions to be paid  
half of the couple's joint IRA account, more than  
which \_\_\_\_\_ was ordered to pay in  
\_\_\_\_\_ it was not paid until \_\_\_\_\_.

ai

t  
r  
f  
i  
l

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**